


Moron Disassembly by Victorious People



Eight Weeks a Month of Cyber Peace and Cyber War



Not A Newbie Anonymous

2016



Getting Forward

Getting Forward	2
Ten Paths	4
One Place	30
Yet Another Way	38

Earth is the Great Teacher, suggests the Solidarity Song, a powerful hymn from the European food fights of the twentieth century strong enough to take it up with church organs. But the characterisation is being put on a condition: if the people of the world unite. It is undocumented where its creators took their inspiration, but the Solidarity Song from the Indian food fights of the fourteenth century, also a deep-rooted and multi-layered hymn, takes a complementary position:

Air is the Great Teacher, it says, with the condition only implied through translation, whether it is a direct statement about the element or an indirect one that a specific teacher has vanished like thin air. Under some circumstances solidarity means to join and share. Yet in others it may also mean to leave and move on. The school one song is talking about is only to begin with solidarity. The school the other describes will end immediately in case solidarity is lost.

It is one of the fundamental facts of climate awareness that biosphere and atmosphere cannot persist without each other. Actually, they are one and the same. As the philosophers of the twentieth century already knew, any real source of truth can only be what it is when it makes a difference regarding the presence of its preconditions. If they are not fulfilled there is no further truth.

If the class is not interested there is no lesson. Any obligation of any kind that there would have to be would make the content thereof meaningless. The two songs are regarding the situation merely from complementary sides: The teacher that has gone until the abuse has ceased as taught, and the teacher that does not yet come back, because that homework is not done yet. How will anyone notice from a distance? Because noise has ceased.

What is the specific challenge of this day and age, where it is vital to put an end to capitalist democracy and its hopeless wars? The global village, as expressed by this turmoil, knows no escape. The other end of the world is not far away enough to be entirely unrelated. The teacher goes away to come back to a self-improved place and community, not to settle elsewhere.



The specific challenge in such a configuration is to lead the way and lead a house, and keep both efforts cleanly separated where ever they might come together, because in order to successfully treat capitalist democracy it may be necessary any time to go away, and be it only as an available possibility in order to quench forward speculation.

In case an evildoer does not respect this choice, it is being marginalised by the gross dis-proportionality of its efforts. How do you lead a house when you are away? How do you lead a way when you are settled? It is crystal clear that the solutions to these challenges are only to be found outside the business and mortgage models of capitalist democracy.

In fact, a situation of two fundamentally different concepts in which a butcher intends to merge both into an inferior cut-up can only be resolved with the addition of a third category that is neither. The ideal school can neither be state-sponsored nor business-run, and it can neither be a home nor a shop, neither be ruled by ego nor by money. Yet for that category to exist, the order of society must fundamentally change, from capitalist democracy to communist anarchy.

Only then such an extension is not absorbed by the pressures of the society it serves. From the view of the teacher this is a mere breach of schedule. The pupil who claims to have understood the subject matter, but does not understand why it is scheduled only at the point it is, very obviously has not understood anything but superficial imitation; and that is not an achievement.

The moron may fail so many times, but time is running out to understand that copying the results without taking all the steps is not sufficient to make it. As a revolutionary, I prophecy some people would even try to sell their capitalist goddess the temples they built to it. However, if there is a God, certainly he is not interested in hostile takeover, currency fraud, exploitation and corruption but in true peace. ■



Ten Ways

Number: One

Title: VCVG on Islamic Daesh - Korean State Row

Date: Tuesday, Oct 11th 2016

Name: Rolf B. Lix

Content: In a diplomatic note to Unitednations observers, Grimmelshausen is discarding a recent statement by the Korean government which termed an ally in the global international antiimperialist front „a cancer in West Asia.“ Though Pyongyang is not involved in the region’s depleted uranium problem, the description was rejected as downright ridiculous in the note. „Saudi Arabia is the cancer, and Daesh is the indigenous treatment thereof,“ VCVG said. He added that this was the kind of malignant discord sown by the enemies of the masses implicated with the Saud regime.

„What is Daesh? If you think of political change as something akin to a change of air in the room, then Daesh is the person who puts all windows wide open for a limited break only to close them again soon. The reformist is the one who puts them a little open over a long time and ignores the noise. After a revolution you can still have reforms but they will fail to lure anyone into fraudulent compromise. In the worst case you have only one window and to keep the door closed so that reform can never mature into revolution. The problem is, currently Daesh does achieve this by throwing an ancient vase into the window, pulling out after both are broken, and boasting that it left a lot of work. It should be using the handle.“

„Nevertheless, Saud is the cancer, Daesh is the better-than-none treatment. There can be proposals of an alternative treatment, but calling for the Islamic State to cave in to Saud is nonsense, all the more so from the ground of the Korean approach on sovereignty. The problem of Saud is that it responds to Daesh attempts for exchange of air by opening the valves of gas and oil, creating an explosive fuel oxygen mix susceptible to the lightest spark. In short, these rotten fruit are being challenged by their offspring, and to evade those challenges they are squandering their wealth in a trade war. It is like the parable of the evil planter who would rather sell off the property than allow its heirs to choose their own way.“

„What is going on there? We observe resource squandering. They are wasting tomorrow’s resources to prolong yesterday’s fraud. Why would someone want to do that if it has been fraud all along the way? Answer: There is speculation over Daesh to cave in. Before the fat man gets slim, the little boy dies of hunger. But can that really be desired? It would not make the cancer of the international system go away. It would not even bring about a more successful treatment. It is lament without an alternative. The classics of Marxism-Leninism call this a reactionary and immature position. It is looking up to the apologetics of imperialism instead of looking down on it. It does not see imperialism as what it is but is harbouring naive expectations instead.“

“Let me take you in depth with this. What would happen if Daesh were to say, hi there, we decided that nationalism is still worth yet another try, go ahead and assign us to nations? It would be a lie, but nobody would care. Daesh leaders would be serving man-made nation states instead of a transcendental purpose. Not a single problem would be solved, but every solution thereof would be buried underneath a shit-load of misled enthusiasm. It would not merely be an abuse of identity. This is a fictional scenario for the self-evident reason that Daesh created itself out of hell fire precisely in order to remove Saud and beyond that purpose would decay into a caricature of itself. The classics termed feudalism anachronistic long ago. It would be naive to assume Saud could be removed with a music video.“

“What matters from the perspective of class struggle is to get feudalism abandoned. This requires communism, global communism, not the nationalised variety mirroring some aspects of feudalism that failed, because it has made it evident over the time that democracy alone cannot overcome that remainder. Our aim is to neutralise a dynasty that is run by an affinity group of clerics with another affinity group of clerics rejecting every aspect of dynastic rule as well as its democratic surrogate. The regulation of oil production must cease to be a weapon in the hand of the feudal landlord, all the more so as it is still extremely difficult to entirely discard oil use without ending up with a surrogate monopoly of other energy carriers. If Daesh commits its oil to this global purpose it is a good thing, better for the climate than those phoney – election-cycle-bridging – diplomatic treaties.”

“In the end, an indigenous treatment against the cancer of Saud is in our interest, not for ourselves but because it is the best for them. It is also in the interest of their Islamic neighbours who bear the load of its aggressions. Furthermore it is an open secret that this cancer has befallen the Western military-industrial complex. To achieve any significant political changes of industrial nations the oil spill is the first issue to do something about. As long as the spill goes on the world economy is a destructive force that cannot lead to anything good because it spills its toxic investments everywhere. We need to roll back the economy into the marketplaces and create non-commercial spaces in order for the things to arise that are not worth living for under commercial circumstances. With fossil energy at realistic prices actually everything that is not explicitly commercial is non-commercial.”

“And here we get at the fundamental impulse that inspires Daesh: With Saud in charge of it, the Arab cultural heritage currently is not worth living for, and unlike Al Qaeda which merely drew consequences thereof the Islamic State has the purpose to change that. Or more precisely, create the economic conditions with which it might become so. But to end the spill Daesh must overrule Saud in terms of control of oil infrastructure. Yet once that happens the imperialist cancer is expected to spew destruction again. It is already happening in the Northern neighbour territories and in the Houthi war in the South of the Arab peninsula. Hence, in order to make its cultural heritage worth living for again, Daesh must successfully overrule both the native dynasty and the foreign imperialist. Only overruling one side is being exploited by the other and might play out worse than doing nothing.”

“That actually is a situation Korea should be familiar with, given the puppet regime in the South and the foreign military occupation. To make unification worth living for both disturbances must be neutralised at once. This makes it all the more saddening that the situation is not properly recognised by the Korean side. But at the heart of the failure is the lack of historical materialism: Since Saud is the single biggest remainder of feudalism, which democracy never managed to overcome, and communism is at the end of historical progress in which both are to be entirely dismantled, a stumbling bloc of that dimension ought to be of interest to communists already for theoretical reasons alone. How can it be? It can be because other than a grain field an oil field can deliver next year’s harvest this year. So seen from the future, it is a looting case and Daesh are the vigilantes.”

“Maybe if Korea had oil it would be weighing the issue more carefully. It has something Daesh is not striving for, and that is nuclear deterrence capabilities of extremely high ceremonial significance. And it is priding itself to hold these to the world in the name of a historical ambition of communism. It is equipped with an atomic leverage to a similar extent as Arabia with the petrochemical leverage. It sees itself locked in deterrence with the North Americans, but it is an open secret that experts disagree whether in terms of oil Saud controls Unitedstates or the other way round or both. One way or another, anyone interested in defeating the Americans should be interested in defeating Saud. Defeating not deterring, as in overcoming not occupying.”

~~~~~

Number: Two

Title: Abgar Rolls Out Details on Handling Bigot Children

Date: Friday, Oct 14th 2016

Name: Gudrun Foerster-Wilhelm

Content: This is an indymedia report on the handling of bigot children in asymmetric warfare. Bigot children are being called that name because they are being introduced into enemy contact situations by bigot parents. They are enemies but not fully responsible, which is why people care what they think about them. That is not the case regarding the former, making a huge difference in handling. Bigot children still have their choice ahead: end up like planned or emancipate. In any case it matters teaching them that they are not like their parents.

“Compassion not contagion” recommends Abgar. “Don’t teach them the truth, teach them how to doubt. The truth is too valuable to argue over it with their parents, and the aim is that they remember as little as possible but of that little the bigger half shall be bigot-parents-unrelated for the whole memory not to hurt. That is so because as you can see with your heart these children carry their parents and not the other way around. When giving some of the bigots an improvised foot washing, mind the textbook recommendation.”

“I say that because you know the trick already: Change clothing ahead of the procedure, because you need it to dry those little feet on the spot. If they had towels they could help themselves. There are none, so improvise. The washing stands for the teaching how to doubt. We do not tell them go into this direction or go into that direction, but instead give them an opportunity to put down their load for a break and get clean feet. Of course this is a parable, there are no real extremities and real water getting in contact in the situation.”

“We are teaching them how to doubt what everything else with them relies upon. And the used cloth can go into the laundry anyway. And when it dries on the line bigots might see a flag in it, but that is why they are being called that name. It is necessary to understand why the bigot brings its child into the situation. It is because the bigot got itself convinced that the situation will change the child and it only depends on you how. It might be a speculation to impress you with unfair surprise. And where else could a bigot child learn fairness?”

“Of course not from its bigot parents. Let me name another example how to sow doubt at a depth it can take root. Why am I only interested in leaving the seed of doubt and nothing else? If you think of human interaction as music, where everyone and everything has a rhythm, either their own or that of someone or something else, then you will understand with your heart. Disharmony is when rhythms are being picked up against intent. Harmony is when they are taken up according to intent. Harmony may decline into disharmony, but there is no way to get from disharmony to harmony without silence in between.”

“What does this mean in regard to the bigot child? First, it must convince itself of change, if any. Second, it must find a surrogate to which to attach those mad ideas it had been instilled about us. That this or that was us. Ugly. Third, it must learn to separate messenger and message. It is being taught to doubt whatever needs to be, not just specific matters. It is not intended to convince the child of anything because the bigger half principle matters more than that. We are not the king of bigot children.”

“The kings of bigot children are these who survived getting swarmed as children by bigots without even having triggered a bigot issue. For example, your parents were weighing an abortion and you got to know how to handle bigots before you got to know how to handle money. You observed contraception side-effects and bigot responses to them. And so forth. But that grown-up expert is not part of the situation. It is only an anticipation of what they might get at once they work themselves out of there by means of their own doubt. This initial spark for healthy doubt is what you can provide, but not a referable orientation.”

“The point is anyway being the knight to bigot children, not their king. Why rival their bigot parents if you can effect something good that might last beyond your presence? The bigot child is there because the bigot parent imagines the situation to be ambiguous enough to risk it as a bargaining chip. But it is asymmetric conflict, the interest in conquest is one-sided. Our interest is in peace and prevention, which is why doubt is being suggested as the key remedy. Mind the bigger half principle when you intend any teachings to stick.”

“One more thing regarding the terminology that is being used. Certainly every bigot will say that it is not a bigot. Some are going to say it is a matter of point of view. I argue it is a matter of understanding the term. Here is an animal parable. I could say that we are not lizards, and everyone would understand what I mean although humans share a significant amount of our genome with these creatures. That is because they come from the same tree of life. But we evolved differently over a long way.”

“Can you imagine a foot washing parable with lizards? No because it is tailored specifically for the human species. It would be empty theatrics even if it was made real. These bigots need to be confronted so that it makes a change to the better, so when they expose the children who are carrying them, the response they get is the doubt taught to the latter. In the book the foot washing parable deals with treason according to the bigger half principle. It is being done to awaken the sceptics and let doubt rise up over the traitors without anchoring it all on an accusation.”

“An interesting detail in the incident omitted by the three other writers, although all of them are covering what comes right next, that it quotes an older source, namely a warning against treason, although it is not rendered as a quote by all of them. But the reference of it is only in one source. The general raising of doubt is being performed to make it possible in the first place to raise a suspicion without getting as far off the mark as its issue happens to be. No such suspicion can stand without an appropriate level of doubt, yet three of four sources suggest that there would never have been a lack thereof.”

~~~~~

Number: Three

Title: Pyongyang Retaliates for Killing of South Korean

Date: Saturday, Oct 15th 2016 at 8:38 PM

Name: Johann A. Gsell

Content: It has all everything it needs to become a judicial precedent: Internal issues, global war crime and swift boat diplomacy. The recent killing of a South Korean in an American remote control air-to-ground attack with a so-called „drone“ in Gambia has not triggered a response from Seoul so far. But now it caused one from Pyongyang. A government spokesperson elaborated that due to a naming similarity the South Korean had been assassinated because the attackers believed the target was a North Korean. Pyongyang said it had arrested an American missionary to enforce compliance with investigations.

Namesake war crimes have been committed before by the Americans, the most prominent one being the case a Muslim abducted in South-Eastern Europe who, after being put through the bureaucracy mill of the notorious extraterritorial prison colony on Cuba, had to be returned due to his self-evident innocence. The El Masri and El Bakri cases have served as precedents of bureaucrat errors of the naming similarity type. In the other case the mismatch was executed by the national government with the result that the suspect was found assassinated by brute force. So it was in the recent Rag Ma case, only without any involvement of national government.

The spokesperson said that the war crime was to be persecuted according to the assumptions used by the perpetrator to raise its intent regardless whether they were erroneous. Naming similarities have played a huge role in the Palestinian intifada, where they also lead to the destruction of homes and occupation settlements. In this case, since the Americans by targeting a South Korean intended to hurt North Korea, the latter is entitled to retaliate as well or on top of the former, diplomatic sources elaborated. On the condition of anonymity one official said if the Americans had been aware that the target was the South Korean of the same name, a common one in both, he might still be alive.

Rag Ma, a sweatshop worker who had won a little fortune in a lottery and invested it into exotic field trips, was assumed to be Rag Ma, a communist party delegate known for his thorough examinations of land reform implementations and blacklisted by Washington as an enemy of its aggressive monopoly corporations in the agricultural sector. When aerial surveillance receivers noticed phone connections accounted on the name, they spotted the target and asked their supervisors for permission to kill. That permission was given along the military hierarchy under the assumption that despite of a hitherto unknown phone number it could only be the expected target.

It was because the Americans came to read out of several possibilities what they wanted to read out of them, not what it would be, and indulged into plagiarism and wishful thinking, the spokesperson said. And it was another example that contrary to the assumption of some in America, not everything can be expressed in the Western alphabet. Besides some qualities and advantages it also has very specific disadvantages, of which the naming similarity is only the most common one. Others vary from simple omission over several grades of forked misspellings to integrated varieties so absurd that most people immediately forget them.

Authentic or not, they all have in common that they leave open whether they are unintended or intended, and that this ambiguity takes away more clarity than the content of the distorted message could deliver, leaving an overall negative impact. Then there are differences among Western languages themselves, for example in several European languages with a tighter dictionary the three words evil, bad and ugly are tied in three different combinations of two words. But while naming mistakes in principle can happen in any alphabet, the probability thereof heavily increases with a shrinking size thereof.

In the otherwise user-friendly-tailored Roman alphabet they are much more likely already to narrower naming conventions, and all the more in transcriptions, where the mistake also may consist of different transcriptions of identical content. In the accumulated pictogram alphabets names are susceptible to be out-shadowed by partial illiteracy as well, but the Western alphabet tends to work out much more risky in terms of difficult-to-see-in-advance risks when grafted upon native languages with or without their own alphabet.

For example, one Hausa dialect in West Africa whose written alphabet died out with a plant species used as writing material has nearly exactly the same word for a person who received a present and a person who was set on fire, originally expressed by the condition of the plant material. The verbal difference is being

pronounced merely by a little wave of tongue, and lost when text is being transmitted through the Western alphabet between native speakers, resulting in cultural suffering and denigration. But Africa is huge enough for this example to be located in a different time zone than the targeted assassination.

As its retaliation, Pyongyang said it had turned upon an American missionary currently in the country for talking about Bible studies. The culprit was accused to intentionally have abused an envelope of an edition of Das Kapital for disguise. Yet the Northern Rag Ma, the agro communist, was said to have refused consent to a symmetric retaliation, arguing any retribution or reparation ought not to infringe upon the asymmetric nature of the conflict. As a result, the evangelist was only taken prisoner as a means to enforce Washington's cooperation in an external investigation not seriously enforced by Seoul despite it lost one of its citizens. South Korean families find little representation of their interests when they collide with American ones.

Pyongyang argued that Washington's handling of the benefit of the doubt left by the linguistic ambiguity along many cases clearly demonstrated that its regime loved death more than life, as also can regularly be seen from statistical figures measuring military efficiency on civilian background issued by Washington itself as well. Drone assassinations in Africa, according to official declarations due to time zone issues, are being pursued from Germany abusing as human shields the military hospitals along the oil war return path. The El Masri and El Bakri cases are from near where the perpetrator side of the remote-controlled assassinations takes place.

Communist party daily Rodong Shimbun argued in its lead commentary that both the incident and its ensuing handling thereof showed that Washington was not serious about world peace. It quoted the guest missionary who was said to have pointed at a Bible quote on true service which he interpreted as a condemnation of the "Haarp" naval command transmission system. In the quote, the prophet disrupts a party in full force by taking away the main music instrument and then goes on with a river of uproar ordering corrupt elites to identify with the marks of their duplicity.

The communist party commentary branded the issue as an icon of American hypocrisy. "After Haarp there only is Daesh" the headline said. The evangelist was quoted to have said that as a proof of seriousness he would avoid mentioning the location address and trust on recipient's capabilities to find the source by themselves among thousands of pages to fly over in search for a stealth antenna grid. The device is serving as a pivotal element of nuclear deterrence, enabling that even after the loss of urban areas and command centres submarines can be reached by final commands of the kind issued in this case.

~~~~~

Number: Four

Title: Klan's Social Relations Penetration Scheme Exposed

Date: Monday, Oct 17th 2016

Name: Gordon Einbaum

Content: The negotiator was in a difficult situation: Client one had obtained evidence that Client Two was exploited by the other side. But it contained an inconvenient truth about Client Two which Client One had talked with Client Three that had been copied, distorted and inserted into the background noise. Showing the evidence would take away the other persons' unbiasedness, like eating GMO food is a threat to someone's biological integrity. The evidence was a mess, but each client was to be convinced without any side-effects in order to put down the exploitation.

“My dear Gewindeberg, what do you recommend against the scenario of triple manipulation?” –

”Desadministration. You are in the need to rid yourself of administration. That is like detoxification, and not like privatisation. Privatisation is when you throw off your valuables until what remains with you puts you at a level where you are getting trashed. Desadministration is like detoxification in the sense of dosage making poison. You have to decompose an overdose of administration. Only it going away with unmanipulated time makes room for a healthy application. In other words, get sober from the manipulation before you administer yourself. That is the Golden Rule of Desadministration.”

“In the triple constellation, building upon manipulation-poisoned evidence which after all is being declared evidence precisely because it cannot be built upon as a source of information is not covered by the golden rule. It may be useful where it came from, but because it got there by manipulation it must not be built upon neither directly nor indirectly in order to be able to let the manipulation cleanly slip off when the balance of powers comes to shift. Not only the dosage but also the modality makes the poison. Anyone can learn from the flow of water that poison is not a substantial concept. This explains why it is so difficult to identify in substantial fields.”

“The manipulation is tailored to exploit the mutual independence quality of the triple constellation. Because you have independence of associating your conversations how you like the violation of that source privilege is to be regarded as a breach thereof. But what to do when evidence of manipulation cannot be used as evidence of manipulation because such use would still manipulate? First, desadmin is like detox. The goal is pure evidence without any unsolicited side-effects. Second, stay at the distance to each other at which you are. Do not come closer together or increase distance as a consequence of manipulation, as a matter of principle, of causal integrity. Third, handling unknown dangers helps handling people to whom your known dangers are unknown because in a sense they are just that. In a certain sense, more on that later.”

“The origin of the toxin is the causality of the manipulation. Why was it taken from one place to another, out of context into elsewhere? The answer is a dead end effort. We can only get to know this by means of additional proof. But since it is a result of manipulation it does not matter whether it is a lie or a truth. Imagine yourself looking at something like this. What is it? It could be meaningful noise, but it may also be someone’s distorted misinterpretation of another information source. Without transparency how it got there not only it is worth nothing but it infringes upon the value of the context it is being put into. Triple manipulation is not just attempting to get at or in between people like simple or double manipulation, but around people.”

“How can the three of them achieve desadministration?” – ”As I said. It requires the creation of conditions in which the poisoning can fade out without interference. Otherwise you may happen to wait for the other clients to break the stalemate, but it can never happen because they will be busy all the time decomposing fresh administration efforts. Conditions in this context is meant in the most general sense, since any effort limited to the constellation or beyond might be got around by this form of manipulation. I make an example. Suppose I stole from you, your brother and your wife and use the booty to forge a document masquerading as her with the content of what you talked with him about her. Further suppose, you decide whether to pass around that document. For both it might be more disappointing than enlightening. And with the inconvenient truth or convenient lie it would be counter-productive.”

“So, what can be expected to be pro-productive?” – “You need a way to explain the manipulation not only to these three but to everyone, and all the world, as self-evident and detached from the specific evidence as it can get. In order to achieve that surplus evidence, produce a challenge against it, even an uphill one does suffice.

Manipulation is abusing some legitimate techniques for illegitimate purposes, you can do it as it is meant. Due to the competition-based inner workings of the manipulation apparatus this will result in surplus manipulation bringing about the extra evidence of the required purity grade. The purpose of challenging the manipulation is not to outmatch its malignant intent but to refine the evidence.”

“Please elaborate what can be done.” – “An instructive source of advice is Rishi Hushai’s research on the Exile Guru Situation. In that constellation, the three clients are the populations of the place of origin, the spiritual teacher, and the population of the place of destination. Rishi Hushai, who went from one global player to another and who is an exile guru himself, said that in this constellation the exile who is a human being is in fact being regarded and handled by the rivalling administrations like a material thing. From the Marxist popular view he is a serious indigenous opponent of commodification tendencies. When you think of the constellation as a negotiator intending to avoid anyone getting around it, you are trying to find that at least two administrations are attempting to do so. The Exile Guru Situation is the most contested form of triple manipulation because it has the most at stake.”

“What else does this person teach?” – “Rishi Hushai is a fierce proponent of the far-to-close variety of the Palki Sahib doctrine. That is, before you leave get your things in intuitive order to keep together these who remain, and to achieve that as a whole start from the furthest issues and go to the closer ones from there, not the other way round. You may even want to expand your reach some more before doing so. Why? Because it means refining the evidence, and only that can enable substantial progress. For Rishi Hushai already before his exile guru lifeline triple manipulation attempts were part of his affairs by national situation, so he prepared in a way that by the way also prepares against them.”

“What can I do?” – “If you have your outer circles in check then you may have chaos contained in the inner ones once you regulate your succession issues inside the better half of the doctrine. Rishi Hushai also teaches that the the close-to-far teachings of Swami Shimi are worse than nothing and that the doctrine in its entirety adds up to zero value. I say when it comes to Palki Sahib what matters is that one is an exile and the other a loyalist. Under the general objective of desadministration, this is an asymmetric constellation. The close-to-far variety may become useful when desadmin is irreversible, so it is more like an abuseful idiocy than like an enemy ideology. If my enemies were following my doctrine you would see them cleaning up the effects of their reckless administrative speculation or as the like to call it, voodoo economics.”

“Is there anything else I should know about it?” – “If you favour RH over SS then you are going to have a much greater probability of toxic assets happening to appear in your circles. That is someone else’s toxic assets. This includes someone else’s unresolved triple manipulation issues. The other half is much easier because you keep the toxic assets out in the first place. But it bears a much higher risk to leave toxic assets to others. Toxic in this context once again is a matter of over-administration. They may cease to be toxic assets with desadmin, and then in the best cases they are assets without any attachments of toxic context. I favour RH over SS because in the long term it brings about more reliable decisions over toxic assets although it takes more time.”

“How do you argue your preference?” – “It is more risky, but also more necessary. Imagine a world only with close-to-far PS. It would preserve very very little recorded memory because most of its efforts were vain in the long term, and even if there was some it would more likely than not not be purposefully sufficient. It never would have really begun what it aims for, unless it discards someone else’s toxic assets. Yet we can only meaningfully judge toxic assets when they are detoxified. It is triple manipulation, hence it does not matter so much what it is but how it got there. Not from the drawings of a stick in the sand, so much is certain.”

“What do you intend to say with that?” – “The textbook instruction for the handling of wealth says little manipulations bear the risk of greater manipulations and ought to be handled accordingly. That is what the negotiator or collective ego of all clients involved in the manipulation targeting has to stick to. We have to be accurate with this because it involves a scale-up potential. I admit RH does see it clearer than SS. If you follow Rishi Hushai’s organisational advice, one remarkable point is when you ask him where to draw your furthest circle for a start, he answers by asking you back for the locations of the graves of your ancestors. It is poly-dimensional circles, and if you think back all the way to the origins of the species or of life it is all the world or universe you can grasp for.”

“Aren’t there any limits?” – “Yes there are massive limitations. I can point you at the infamous dispute on the second coming of Buddha between Rishi Hushai and Swami Shimi at the Clearwater Sadhu Assembly. RH argued that Buddha was naive because he failed to reach for the spirit of exile. SS argued that Buddha was innocent because he had no need to reach for it since he found refuge with a loyalist war veteran. I had to tell the two of them that second coming is different from first occurrence in terms of order. The guru might have found refuge with the renegade, oh yes. The dog might wag the tail, to put it into conventional terms. But once you facilitate a second coming the tail is wagging the dog.”

“The military might want to go to war and expand its size not for military purposes but to excrete war veterans on our societies as to speed up its staged second coming. But if whoever in fact represented Buddha he would be aware of his limitations, just as much as of these of military approaches. And by military I mean more hierarchic than victorious. In the worst case the state of militarist fuck-up might abuse some appearance of Buddhism from its start, so that the war veteran it produces for the reenaction is manipulated like a GMO plant. But second coming is reenaction with reverse significance, which is a fact to which the militarist state is only able to catch up to symbolically. Once however for the mere reason to escape manipulation it becomes necessary to draw the furthest circle outside the military, the situation itself puts RH over SS.”

“Can you say something to conclude this conversation?” – “Here you are. When you work PS the far-to-close way then you need to correct the mistakes of certain types of the close-to-far variety. Calling them lazy would be a compliment, I do call them parasitic. It is the kind of trolls that try to sell you a bridge. While far-to-close under some circumstances may leave a runaway appearance, which given a lack of trust could be interpreted as a risk too, close-to-far bears a serious risk of ignorance. It occurs when someone intends to wrap up the easy tasks before more difficult tasks they rely upon have been completed. Then the results in many aspects are incomplete, and this is fundamentally wrong. Example: You stock up the church tower before you unite the seminary. That is leaving a toxic asset. You stock up the Totem pole before you unite the Elders. That is a toxic legacy. You design a flag before you unite the people. That is a toxic policy and it is to be called ignorant because it puts a load upon the succession regulation of the far-to-close approach. A similar leaning upon ancestry issues is not the case the other way round.”



Number: Five  
Title: Zika Site Inspection Report Leaked  
Date: Saturday, Oct 22nd 2016  
Name: Kilian Keber

Content: Already in early summer, a team of anti-contamination experts filed a study of a field survey of an

infected site in the Andes, a rural village whose indigenous population, except for a few foreigners apparently was all killed by or had fled an unidentified mortal danger. The report was addressed to the World Health Organisation, but apparently never reached its recipients. Changes to the root causes of the problem were not being made. The issue was zipped up in attempts to incrementally exterminate potential carriers of the disease. As a result, the damage the disease is inflicting upon the reproduction is not being understood.

“When we arrived at the empty village, it turned out that already some while ago it had transformed itself into a gated community of the one-dimensional type. There were no rabid dogs, but it was impossible to get in without breaking something. Actually the border the place had developed to its environment was of several layers, a distance strip inside the high and tight fence, and a garbage belt running outside of it. There was no doorkeeper or notification system, but a waiting lounge. Although the latter is an exaggeration, there was kind of a makeshift construct whose strongest function consisted of remotely remembering of what it ought to be.”

“So we waited, and after a while one of the foreigners took us in. But they were reluctant to talk what had happened and said most of the inhabitants had simply not come back after they left. We were allowed to look around and there were spaces people had elaborately prepared for themselves, which had been used by other people, and made available to guests. But then something beyond description appeared to have happened and people left everything behind. All we could learn from the foreigners there was that they were reluctant to offer explanations. We found no signs of contamination or dead bodies. Nothing like this.”

“But with the border fence having been put up, as a security measure to protect themselves against hostilities from the environment, the population drain and its leftovers quickly came to dominate the entire structure. Apparently the fence had been erected as an unsuitable means against an unacceptable problem, and contributed so much to worsening it that memory of the causal origin was lost in social interaction or lack thereof. It still dominated the place, although for the danger which had apparently killed its inhabitants the fence seemed not to have been an obstacle to the decimation of the population.”

“All the foreigners were able to do is give us an explanation why we found no immediate trace of disease in this community. And that was because it is located in the middle of a vast tropical rainforest plateau, which only recently had been swarmed by corporate speculation and industrial exploitation. It seemed a bit as if time had come to a standstill. When inhabitants were longing for healing, they were going out to natural spaces, and when they were longing for community, they were returning to their social living place. When modern civilisation came in, they chose to become a gated settlement.”

“Then there came this threat they were not prepared for. Community members had made it a matter of proving their mutual trust in each other to put down their various individual defences for one collective defence in order to achieve a more empathic and relaxed life. But it also turned out to be a more reluctant and scared community once the much-praised collective defence became ineffective. And the usual recommendations against Zika were useless as well where open water reservoirs are indispensable. Everyone could see that urban chemical applications would achieve the same effect as rural metallurgical applications already had or worse.”

“Zika slipped in everywhere, and since it was a gated community there was no free immunity. The affected left in order to spare everyone else what had affected them and never came back. Within less than two years since the first larger occurrence of problematic pregnancies the entire village had literally emptied, because people left with or without packing up their belongings and with or without already being affected by the Zika infection. The inhabitants had only prepared against corporate thugs and measles. Given the damage profile, it

appeared that Zika might have been deployed to deliberately put the community at a bad-or-worse choice.”

“There is no doubt that the infection had been brought into the area as a bioweapon by thugs under the order of a conglomerate of logging and mining corporations interested in destroying the community as an obstacle to their plans for exploitation. Various malignant diseases have deliberately been brought from places where they were running rampant into the rainforest as to try to decimate native inhabitants, with Zika and its nefarious effects on the reproduction cycle only being the latest instance. Had we not already been working on it it might have been overlooked that this of all things was the cause.”

“Although due to the modalities of their handling, once surrounding threats have been removed infection sites of the described type pose no immediate risks to visitors, because there are no decaying corpses around, their eventual revival depends on an outside condition of certainty that threats would not return. Basically, it does require the industrial operations for the purpose of the extension of which the bioweapon had been brought in to cease in order for a popular expectation of revival to grow. That would allow to avoid the above mentioned mistake.”

“Why did these community members throw together their pots a bit too early? Because they wanted to motivate each other. But how they tried that was not suitable. For these upping their bets everyone who would not follow up was a coward. That left all of them equally helpless when they were confronted with the failure of their collective measures against the kind of threat they were facing, in this case infection bearers getting through the holes in the fence. Behind the monopolised collective defence competition had left no immunity. Alone the risk of their children getting sick would make people sick.”

“How comes that these habits of corporate alienation have penetrated an indigenous community which confronts these forces at a bigger distance than most of the world population? It seemed that the fence was not the root cause. Nor was the peer pressure. They both are symptoms of infiltration. How could that have happened? To see that it is necessary to see the proportions in size. In populations of millions and billions a tiny community of a few dozen or hundred people may be far from an additive majority, but under circumstances can turn into a multiplier of good and evil alike. After drawing fresh material, Zika could have jumped from there to virtually everywhere.”

“With that in mind, the individual behaviour of its residents appears plausible in terms of social relations. They did not want to become a Zika threat to others. That is because they understood multiplication. It is remarkably simple: Where a danger cannot multiply, you rescue yourself first. The air plane goes down, each passenger is obliged to reach for the oxygen mask on their own before reaching it to others, because doing the latter would infringe upon their ability to do just that, nothing worse. Other if they would become a Zika community.”

“Hence, these people left not because they wanted to abandon the place, but because they did not want to be used against their own interests and instead wanted to prepare to take it back. Therefore the subtle impression of standstill. But what kind of mortgage could it be that, when lasting upon a place, would make it that it could only be successfully mastered after drawing strength elsewhere? This is another symptom of infiltration. Apparently the community suffered at least a microscopic form of hostile takeover before it experienced all the rest of civilised atrocities from thugs to epidemics.”

“Especially remarkable is one case of a foreigner who had joined the village after the erection of the fence. Her way can be reconstructed because when we surrounded the place we found her remains outside the fence.

Apparently she woke up one morning, felt infected, and like her indigenous brethren packed up her belongings for a rainforest retreat. But when she realised that she could not survive an encounter with corporate thugs she turned around, took camp directly outside the fence, attached her stuff to it and dug herself a tunnel grave in which she died before it collapsed upon her dead body.”

~~~~~

Number: Six

Title: Miller Holds Lesson On Government Buildings

Date: Tuesday, Oct 25th 2016

Name: Robert Koenig

Content: The notorious anti-government teacher Winston Miller has made a video appearance at Saint Louis People’s University for a statement on the proper handling of government buildings. The issue was the Concrete Legacy Challenge, that is the fact that once capitalist democracy comes to an end to be replaced with something better, there is going to be a legacy of architecture that is to be dealt with in one way or another. There are many lessons what to do with it, but what matters is a stringent answer fitting for all cases thereof.

For the Salo Pu hacker collective which had organised the extra program it was more of a technical than a content challenge though. That is because unlike Miller said he would not make a specific recommendation but rather demonstrate a technique how to get government make its own decision on it. The result of the choice was then projected everywhere by its monopoly forces. The focus of the lesson therefore was on how to trigger it being made. Here are key elements of the speech.

“For the purpose of this experiment, a large flash mob of volunteers and supporters was raised to bring about a structure which would produce an architectural challenge whose complexity significantly exceeds that of government bureaucracy. This in fact was the only relevant point, the complexity, and for its purpose everything could be used that would anyhow be available for construction. Of course they were working with love, but not for a result of their labour but for a result of their challenge. They were producing a bargaining chip.”

“And equally of course it is more motivating to work for the future, but the current planetary uncertainty whether there is one at all is too urgent to blindly rely upon such motivation. With capitalist democracy still around, the danger of crash is too big. So they worked upon a prototype of the future, encapsulating the risk it might have to be restored from scratch into a limitation of attachment. The complexity record was transcended a while ago already. It really is a challenge.

“Some were arguing that such a construct ought to include world heritage items for the purpose of deterring mass destruction, but the final result was that our policy is ambiguous at that point. You do not need to know whether there are any material treasures to be careful. The goal is to achieve the intended effect without misplaced additions. But it is possible to leave impressions that there could be, if only they are not being built upon.”

“So what was produced was a measuring device showing what government does with it. That result is then available to be reflected upon that government. It is like a microscope – if government handles it with care, so it shall be returned. If government indulges in destruction, so it shall be returned. Just like the call at the forest. By looking through it, you are taking a glimpse at the future of capitalist democracy and its philosophy and

technology of government.”

“The purpose of such a public bargaining chip is to be sufficiently complex to become unsusceptible to intrusion and manipulation. When it is otherwise being made impossible to build anything of that complexity for one’s own future, because government does not tolerate independence and attempts to undermine it from early on, then it becomes necessary to check the intention that becomes transparent once its complexity is being surpassed.”

“The scientific news in it is how the dilemma of the missing proof was broken. Under normal circumstances you cannot prove intrusion and manipulation because that would require to surpass a government’s complexity. Yet when complexity for one’s own future would only be possible by ignoring intrusion and manipulation, the means could not achieve its purpose. In the dilemma of the missing proof you may be battling off intrusion and manipulation for a long time, permanently alerted, maybe successfully, but never reach the amount of complexity necessary to outmatch it, or even worse reach it at the price of failure and get absorbed by evil.”

“How was it done? Exactly because it is not our heritage with which it is being done it is possible. It is being organised externally. The flash mob who did it is not linked to you or me, though it may have found itself together with a little help from you or me. With the experimental structure, this group then provided a transition gift of both sufficient authenticity and sufficient neutrality showing that if only government wanted to survive, its future could be a part of ours, though not as what it is now.”

“It is however, as in the ancient permaculture wisdom of loving one’s neighbour like oneself, something we would use for ourselves, and elsewhere actually do, in no other way than we expect it of them. I think that is a message for or with approval by all the volunteers in the flash mob. The logical bargaining chip is the opposite of a toxic waste and assets dump without a logic, of which it would only be interesting whether any rights were infringed upon for getting it there.”

“Likewise, it is not an issue of gambling, but the other way round. Because government is attempting to make us its bargaining chips, to the literal sense of abusing us with electronics, we resort to the classical methods of mathematical game theory and include them into such a configuration, only that in this direction it is being done with morally appropriate means. In fact methodological correctness is of utmost vital importance when it comes to boomerang justice, as opposed to anchoring justice.”

“The Complexity Overtaking Experiment is necessary to break a technological stalemate in which technological development has surpassed social progress, and a distorted imitation of social progress is being generated by a crippled technological development, instead of the former fully guiding the latter through public consent. This happened with combustion engines and computer electronics, resulting in global war.”

“Like every good idea, this approach has a prototype, and like any open source it should experience due reverence. When after it became clear two generations ago that Nato only brought about aggression and militarism, Haile Selassie of Ethiopia visited Jamaica to establish an alternative transatlantic relationship, the government there under the pretext it would have been slave labour demolished a world heritage site the emperor had come to see, and thereby missed an opportunity for true independence.”

Miller closed with thanking the many volunteers for their contribution to ending the war, emphasising on the asymmetry of the conflict with the words “We are the forest, they are the wasteland.” Which is to be taken

downright literally given the sheer immensity of the Concrete Legacy. Once capitalist democracy is overcome for possible ways of life, the concrete legacy is to be handled in one way or another that is to be sustained.

~~~~~

Number: Seven

Title: Hacker Group Seals Back Door in Trade Proposal

Date: Oct 26th 2016

Name: Georg Becker

Content: A hacker group rallying behind the creator of a prevailing anti-bureaucracy tool-kit has announced it closed a dangerous back-door in a trade pact proposal currently discussed by anti-lobbying groups. Ras Ramsay, who has received applause from the collective, said that the proposal contained a malignant moral equivalence whose approval would open the door for a shipload of commercially triggered abuses. Various speakers of the group added that they saw it as likely that with the criticised equation the entire pact paradigm was sunk.

“I would like to interfere with your circles to point out that interference itself is a term which reversed its sign with the occurrence of the zero hedge policy that kicks the currencies down the road and disrupts the climate. So is the problem we are going to reflect. As long as money costs money, a moral equivalence between natural persons and corporate identities is a nuisance but not a grave threat. When money ceases to cost money, a door opens up through which the rights and privileges of natural persons could be seriously violated. The poison becomes lethal only when you add zero hedge.”

“A natural person is a human being, body and soul, or more precisely its formal recognition as what it is by the law. A corporate identity is an organisational construct modelled upon and represented by a legal person, but it does not have the significant qualities of the natural person. Its body is a representative abstraction and its soul a technical commonality. Any moral equivalence between natural persons and corporate identities denigrates the former. Zero hedge beefs up the corporate identities beyond any respect and decency for humans. It would mob the natural person.”

“Which cannot be tolerated even in a seminal form. It is already so that corporations can make all kinds of deals and agreements which are allowed to them by the law, and for any issue going beyond that governments can make laws. But what is the case here is that some governments are intending to give their corporations a privilege which is reserved to natural persons, namely the right to bypass the legal systems with their agreements. That is a significant difference because both the legal and the economic system are tools for humans and not the other way around. Provided they aim for legitimacy.”

“The question to be discussed here is why are bilateral trade pacts pushed forward in place of universal reforms despite they are not ripe or not rich or both. Should not these governments better invest their efforts into solving the zero hedge problem? If the financial system was a heating system, and you would permanently have to fill in fresh water because it is getting lost somewhere in it, very likely you would not tolerate that condition. Certainly not in an atomic fission reactor and probably not even in a family home. The potential damage caused by the loss alone is reason enough to get the entire thing fixed. That is your financial system in a nutshell.”

“Then there are these arguments that ceasing to roll the stone uphill every day anew would bring it to the edge

of meltdown. If that is so, then it is a condition so dangerous that it requires to be fundamentally changed. How the financial system is to be changed and the fossil and informational looting of the planet and the people is to be ceased is well grown into the creative commons. If any trade pacts were made now they would be deformed by the death monopoly of the military-industrial complex. Here you have an example. To end the pillage of the future the circulation of the present must be restored properly.”

“If you think of the world population as a human body and of the financial circulation as one of its body functions, then you may be beginning to understand. Capitalism is a system in which body parts that do not work receive no fresh blood and may get damaged or die. In every natural person, every cell of tissue on the body gets supplied according to its needs regardless what it does, even if the entire body is hungry it is never giving up a part of itself for the sake of the rest. You may want to push up circulation after a calm, but if your body would just disconnect an arm or two due to lack of circulation it should be alarming.”

“It would pose a grave risk of partial loss or worse, even if it was enforced upon you as a tree which does so by itself. Yet if you follow how most people accustomed to capitalism are looking at it, it seems they are not taking the problem seriously. Not at all. Instead of identifying the problem they are cutting down the solution with the result that then for them there is no solution at all because the only solution is an all-encompassing one. The pity is that with problem and solution being known it also becomes obvious that the additional money provided by zero hedge would not even be necessary to solve the problem and implement the solution, if it was not for the extra damage inflicted by ignorant capitalism.”

“The consequence from a lack of proper financial circulation is destructive competition. That is the kind of competition that is forcing people into a lack of alternatives, like a forest fire where you only can choose for a very limited time in which direction you would like to die. That is fundamentally different from, to pick just one example, choosing not to go to the pharmanympics because you do not like the crazy bureaucracy there, in case of which you just swim, drive or run your own rounds. To overcome destructive competition, circulation must reach everywhere already without work. Then competition only comes up where there is no such danger.”

“In case you may need an example of destructive competition, look at natural evolution. Look at the grounded birds, at the beauty of the peacock and the productivity of the hen for example. Their presence is an indicator that there is no destructive competition, because if any comes up due to the condition of their species they are to be its first victims. It can be said about this branch of the evolution tree even more than on others that what we may get to see now only is a tiny fraction of what ever developed over a very long time. There are entire families of species that just cannot exist under destructive competition. Its overdosing and misapplication hamper the development of the tree of life.”

“Don’t you think that there is a connection between false trade schemes, zero hedge pillage and extinction of species? It becomes absolutely obvious once you look at the trade pact proposal and its weaknesses again, from your new level of insight. When a moral equivalence between natural persons and corporate identities is being weighed, why not one between natural persons and biological species first? Why cannot a species sue a corporate executive? If it was to speak in favour of a trade pact that it got checks and balances, why not the best possible variety thereof which is giving the species what is for the species? Are we really expected to get distracted by corporate ignorance?”

“The mere fact that such a susceptibility could be offered in the first place ought to be considered as alarming. If that can happen in the making of the trade pact, it may draw unhealthy speculation to get away with it in all

trade made under the pact. This is an inflatable risk. Already its growth to a situation may be overwhelming for some under some conditions, and that is to be regarded as a matter of inflatable speculation as well. It is not only a warning sign of erratic ignorance but of organised irresponsibility. I could point you at the statements made by one African Elder of a hacker group whose name I will not mention at this point which basically said the final result of taking such a risk would be slavery.”

“People would use, abuse and dispose of people. People would be a commodity in their entirety, not only their labour force as in capitalism. Demographic aberrations would occur compared to which all current population problems would be minor issues. The overproduction and mismanagement crisis in the commodity markets caused by zero hedge would be an immediate problem of our own species. Zero hedge would turn the bogus moral equivalence into a moral superiority of corporations over people. Whereas the term moral in the wording is to be handled with care, referring to a lie in the disguise of morality. Slavery is contradictory in generalisation, as a social parasite it can only grow upon a host ideology like racism.”

“What would a promise to phase out zero hedge in an uncertain future be worth when made in such a constellation? That is something which can be determined with appropriate certainty vastly before it might come to it. Hence it makes sense to describe trade pact proposals of the aforementioned kind as a symptom of currency abuse. They are mirroring the fact that the zero hedge policy itself is a substitute for policy changes to be implemented in order to restore distribution justice and keep the society in which this species is organised and therefore the human species itself alive.”

“If circulation was poison, in terms of medicine zero hedge is like zero awareness. And the first term quantifies the latter, and not the other way around. As everyone can see it is an asymmetric policy bringing about asymmetric consequences. In an open society justice ought not to be tied to oligarchies, but where corporate identities are not put below human beings there is no social openness because then zero hedge goes to corrupt everything. But once again, even more than giving a description of it it matters to make a change on it, and in fact the former only is a means of the latter.”

“If you like to draw up trade pacts, why not first trade respect with the other intelligent species? It makes very much sense to consider the claims of the large seaborne mammals for the reduction of ocean noise by cargo ship traffic, and also they are their own species so who are we to despise their suicide attacks over this issue? Only then it makes sense to organise things in our own species. If a trade pact would leave open a door for manipulations that are set to hamper natural evolution, it is in its entirety as dangerous as any product of genetic manipulation in the trade. The risk is marginal but everywhere. It would be no alternative to place trade under military supervision, but only increase above mentioned inefficiency. ”

“Can any meaningful corrections be made to the deal to make zero hedge exploitation unattractive? Yes there can. What are they? The meaningful change is the addition of the concept of cumulative guilt. What is cumulative guilt? Cumulative guilt is when trade has harmful consequences, its perpetrators bear the responsibility not only for their own trade but for the entire avalanche of trade by other culprits which they triggered. Once it comes to manipulations, everything is a weapon. When you initiate an arms race you are guilty of all of the massacres, not merely of your direct involvements. If you – knowingly and willingly – initiate a dumping contest then the entire pile goes on your responsibility. If you extinguish a species, you did so with every other species that might have evolved from it as well.”

“In order to properly value other species it is necessary to first value our own species the way it deserves. If

you take a careful look then you can see that the moral equivalence does not, which is why it is susceptible to this kind of fraud in the first place. It is so inappropriate to human beings to pretend that corporate identities were equal to ourselves that it ought not even be a matter of assignment of burden of proof. It is in the form of this example being made clear that zero hedge speculations are not only distorting the bills, they are distorting the very concept of responsibility which is the foundation of any treaty. Yet cumulative guilt fixes it.”

~~~~~

Number: Eight

Title: Lumumba Tribunal Weighs Obama Legacy

Date: Friday Oct 28th, 2016

Name: Saif al-Salam Bin Franklinger

Content: On the occasion of the looming presidency change in the Unitedstates facade of the current military-industrial complex, an African sovereignty group which formed after the assassination of Gaddafi has held a tribunal billing Unitedstates policy in the eight years period. It said that for an insight into the change this presidency period made, the assault against Libya was the main benchmark. Not only is it a showcase for its inferior motives, but it also produced considerable fallout upon the election campaign.

To understand the problem of the Libyan Indigenous Democracy, or however the detailed participation system the military subordinate who never promoted himself had schemed is being labelled, it is instructive to replay the scenes of the head of state walking the streets with his entourage and talking to random people in search of an answer whether they are satisfied with the social system brought upon the country. Not that the answers would matter much more than in any corporate employee summoning because they are served like jobs. What matters is that all these people happening to get involved behave as formally as if they had been picked by stint cops asking for their social security paperwork. The feedback is expected to be coloured by the arrangement, yet paradoxically for Gaddafi it was a rebellious gesture against neoliberalism.

The irony is, the spokesperson of the Lumumba Tribunal pointed out, that this is an aspect in which Gaddafi and Obama, or any possible successor, did not differ the slightest. A totalitarian government representative always is in alienation of the masses, that is a basic configuration of this kind of system, if it was not so it would not be longing for total information access. Any human being ought to perceive it as alarming when a situation develops to the point that even the mere asking of a question would amount to an assault. The Unitedstates assault upon Libya, into which the military establishment was dragged only by the national surveillance apparatus, is the high water mark of the Obama legacy, and established the constellation of branches which the government facade of the military-industrial complex has displayed ever since.

When it became clear in Iraq that regime change would not work because it was not valued higher than nation state configuration, there rose the temptation for Unitedstates government to repeat the mistake made here, namely its industrial era coined idea that the government in Baghdad could be replaced like a spare part whose replacement another branch might be shipping later. In the sanest cases the assumption was it would come as a by-product of another, indigenous regime change in Riyadh like a spring breeze after the storm. But these days it is precisely those forces whom Unitedstates has made its most determined enemies with its inappropriate behaviour and abuse of trust. The occupation controlled government there displays more mimicry of shadow forces in the war speculation than of representation of the Iraqi population against foreign control.

While the Iraq war failed creeping and incrementally, without any spectacular threshold making up for a near time difference or disruption, in review it can already be said now that the red line which determines the point in time at which it had become an undeniable fact silently recognised by all sides in it to the extent that they would base their decisions upon the result was crossed with the assault on Libya. The very moment it did not matter any more whether Saddam Hussein had the so-called weapons of mass destruction or not, because they can only be used for deterrence or war crimes and nothing in between, two conditions of the same substance, fraud broke its way against these who had taken a deal including a handover of dual use capacities so serious that they remained waiting for positive response after they did. This bulky Gaddafi legacy also became proof that the word of Bush had become worthless with Obama.

Despite Bush being a toxic asset of the national surveillance apparatus, he only enabled the coup of this illegitimate branch against the constitutional structure in the name of his successor. Like Iraq, and before it the Balkans and Afghanistan, Libya has become an open wound left from a bad choice grabbed without due consideration, and once again it had not been its own one. Since its assault on Libya, Washington is overdrawn at the balance of powers. In fact there is no such condition of reliable equilibrium any more, and instead the unchecked apparatuses are being herded by fringe clerics happening to hold sway over those toxic assets of government bureaucracy. The unhealthy competition in this sector has opened the door for war crimes motivated by ideological speculation feeding from accumulated bigotry and lavish mismanagement. Obama and his cabinet members and successor candidates committed this.

And yet they are hostages of their own bureaucracy. The difference between the wars on Iraq and on Libya is in the latter case it already had become an evident vanity to claim not to have known any better. This was plausible then, but from there on no more. With regard to regime changes, instead of speculation on possible positive follow-up effects now there is clarity on their destructive effects. Ultimately it is to be billed that Obama has spectacularly failed his own declared goals from environmental calm over material justice to nuclear disarmament, and instead left a toxic chain of wars primarily fuelled by the haughtiness of unqualified leadership and the particularism of rivalling bureaucrats. With Libya only being its most stressed link, this series of wars of aggression wrapped in a duplicitous doctrine claiming anti-war ambitions is to be weighed in its entirety as well in order to decide upon it.

When a link is being suggested between the assassination of Gaddafi, which bundled the evil let loose by the Obama doctrine in one high profile case, and the elements of scandal around the Benghazi embassy overshadowing the election race, this toxic legacy comes to the focus of attention. It is not merely the loss of the status quo ante, the restoration of the larger-than-life memory, but that any catch-up development was lost to occupation efforts. When it comes to the search for an explanation why so, it is remarkable that the motive for the assault on Libya was much wider than merely the bureaucratic phrase of regime change, and in fact the term was a cover for that unspoken ambition, namely the penetration of African sovereignty with an attempt to supplement it with such an absurdity as a continental occupation command centre in post-colonial Europe and a so-called support group cast for the mere purpose of mirroring and outnumbering its inner fragmentation structure.

In other words, the coup of the surveillance component of the military-industrial complex against its mechanic component, of the national spying apparatus against the chief command of the war ministry, as materialised to the outside world in the drive for war against Libya, was in fact an assault on Africa and all representing it regardless of their respective appropriateness, which some doubted to be rightly rooted with Gaddafi for some of the aforementioned reasons. Likewise, the restoration of African sovereignty as a continental issue taboo to

any outside intervention is a cause which requires the overcoming of the diplomatic treason committed against Libya with which the United Nations organisation and its member states took the path of its predecessor which had as well sacrificed African integrity to colonialist illusions, with the only result of its own demise. There is not going to be a self-reliant and self-asserting Africa until the organisation body is overhauled.

In legal terms, the war on Libya is a precedent for Security Council reform. It was the first instance of a country being attacked which had an open claim for a permanent veto seat. Up to the current day, it is difficult to argue why Africa should not be represented in the inner circle of the Security Council. The only argument that can be kept up consistently is that this body is not made for regional representation but for the storage of toxic nuclear assets. But then one could just as plausibly argue that United Nations as whole was not made for human rights implementation but for the storage of toxic totalitarian assets. With Gaddafi gone, no other nation or transnational social movement in Africa has taken up the unity issue and its representation to outside forces as its own, quite the contrary, it has become somehow orphaned and hostage to outside forces. And the worst of these outside forces in terms of death toll causality is American interests working through Europe, in comparison to which Chinese trade and Russian diplomacy deliver a weird image of ignorance and laxness.

It is not a small coincidence that these global players came to regret the stances they have taken in the Libyan war. Had Moscow worked with Gaddafi like it now does with Assad half a decade ago already, Africa might be much better off at this point both geopolitically and economically. The latter because United States war upon Libya was demanded by the military-industrial complex as a psychological substitute for a lack of United States disentanglement from the Saudi monarchy meltdown, compared to which the mentioned rightly see themselves as the “lesser evil” their opponents are talking about. A look at Russia’s handling of United Nations reveals diplomatic neglect – like when a truck driver needs a special training for hazardous substances, but a last mile deliverer thereof does not, with the result of unchecked side-effects. Apparently deceived by its own bureaucratic helplessness, Russia did not understand that it betrayed Europe as well.

With Obama going down, a regime is being re-calibrated which in the meantime has changed its order of branches. Was Washington, when Bush gave it to Obama, a military with a spying apparatus, so it is now, when Obama gives it to a successor, a spying apparatus with a military. The toxic asset has become even more toxic, the tribunal said. It pointed to Britain for a precedent, in which the same has happened under the Cameron government, but in a tighter space, so it turned a referendum into a loyalty issue with the result that the outcome was contrary to all commercial bets and forecasts. Nobody envies United States population for a superficial choice between different forms of hysteria. But below that surface, which bears the marks of the Libya fallout for all the world to see, it also is a matter of branch hierarchy. The toxic assets are preferring an oligarchy loaner in their influence even over a cartel loner. The path via breaking up the oligarchy may not be the one with the fewest malignant headwinds.

While it is a running joke among Americanists that every United States election is historical unlike the last one, the only true significance thereof is whether it brings the world any closer to the dismantling of the military-industrial complex. With the events of the recent years, and the place of Gaddafi as a representative of African sovereignty vacant, it has become an immediate interest of that rich and exploited continent, which by now already is clear of nuclear weapons, a condition the rest of the world is lagging behind. Nevertheless Gaddafi who was based on fossil water and oil is no precedent for the future, like the grid-dependent person that would start up a diesel generator for a water cooker and a few decoration lights. But the all-female palace guard was an useless performance, the American war has replaced these items with car bombs, rocket propelled grenades and killer drones. Unlike in the Bin Laden assassination however in this case there was no video footage

revealing the reaction of evil.

If there are any sane persons left inside Unitedstates, the Lumumba tribunal stated, who have not fallen victim to character assassination or other forms of abuse, the crucial detail for them to understand in this is that their choice is not between hysteria and reason, because hysteria is the only thing a failed state has to offer, it is a choice between hysteria for themselves only and transgressive hysteria at the expense of populations suffering occupation. Plus, the constellation represents an invitation to any additional hysteria, just like the Cameron vote in Britain turned out to have. In the best case the election result is going to be interpreted to be a panic buy – as if someone in front of the food can shelf suddenly decided to store away one for every warhead on the books – and finally discarded as such. But it is also relevant to make clear what is not at stake there, because trade balances are as they are until decided otherwise. The fundamental change necessary in order to heal the world from the military-industrial complex does not depend upon whether the Americans are more audacious snubbing their oligarchies than the Arabs, but on these in between of them staying on top of the twin oligarchies.

~~~~~

Number: Nine

Title: Caracas Discloses Petition Attack

Date: Sat, Nov 5th 2016

Name: Theodolf M. Sepulchi

Content: It was a kind of weird request that made the first mass protocol official raise an eyebrow: He pulled an unconventional doubt permit, consulted a proofreading specialist and got confirmed a rare instance of a numbering translation error. The investigation triggered by the finding came to the result that there was a serial spoofing attack by an external enemy taking place.

The Maduro government had received a remarkable number of forgeries in the name of a whole range of Venezuelan citizens, but its delay in the answering queue side-effecting from the crass austerity imposed by the trade war in the oil sector saved it from answering any before the swindle was discovered, the internal investigation found. Nevertheless it decided to handle the issue at high emergency priority, weighing that from the impact profile it was to be deduced that it might hit or already have hit other nations not so lucky to find that double unluck turns into luck again like a minus one factor in a mathematical equation.

Ironically, the initial suspicion, which later turned out to have come in the name of a low profile comrade from Maduro's early years, who did not mention this association to the lower desks, was about Venezuela's renewable energy program. It however contained a demand so absurd that it can only be concluded that its originators had expected it to be answered with an unspecific serial letter wrapping its issue in emissions. The target of the identity theft would then ask for explanation, receive more nut-case text bricks, get ever more alienated from its authentic political associations, and both sides would end up deceived by mutual grudge.

The content of the petition was that a vertical solar heat and electricity generation system installed in an lakeside spot for double efficiency was proposed to be converted into a horizontal swimming panel array, which would only generate half of the electricity and none of the heat but receive thrice of its output in USAID climate subsidies on the condition it was privatized to external investors. The argument detailed in power output and revenue calculations, an in one paragraph instead of the fact that the facility had been a net win for a

dozen years claimed that this was the amount of time its construction had taken.

The official elaborated that in the original statement it was said to have worked reliable for the duration of three presidential terms, and the statement had been made in order to counter any bets on privatization. He also pointed out that the demand was nonsensical because even when added to the existing facility the new investment proposal would only put a burden on its efficiency. There were better places to test out somebody else's toxic assets for recycling value than associated to one's own proven assets. An old comrade of a political leader suggesting to examine a widespread business scam would be more than unlikely to show up with such a coarse proposal like a vassal.

The example is being rolled out in detail because it stands representative for countless others that are not to be dragged into public attention due to the divisive tailoring of their specific contents and targets, it was said. The Presidential office dismissed rumours warning of an exodus of foreign capital due to increased popular awareness and pointed to a case study on vertical agriculture by an international specialist which elaborated that systematic efforts had taken place to get a foot into the door of the recycling movement by the placement of guild debt architecture.

These buildings at the first glance would appear like perfect stages for vertical agriculture, but on the second look turn out to be technically irresponsible speculations like the dry casks for nuclear fuel rods, whose maintenance procedures could not be clearly defined at delivery. Their creators had speculated that the guild would find reliable ways of maintenance during the first maintenance cycle they would be relied upon. The official stated that as a diplomat he looked at the forgeries as guild debt literature.

Many foreigners are not aware that petitions to the Caracas government traditionally must be delivered in handwriting, as to avoid cases of substitute or collective petitions and get citizens focussed on individual requests. With this security measure against spoofing among other things already in force, it could only have been a foreign government data-mining Venezuelan citizens which produced the forgeries with a totally inappropriate kind and amount of effort, the mass protocol investigation concluded.

It was also found that alleged authors from two different ends of the country delivered their respective paperwork with the same kind of ball pen, a rare mix of ink used only in one batch of commercial give-aways in Unitedstates years ago. Others said they had personal gifts to the president attached like a lamp with a sticker of a pop group to illustrate an argument on power grid configuration, but no such items were found in the packaging envelopes. Other documents contained product placements associated with content plagiarised from social media accounts, presumably to project digital spam back into an analogue form.

Another fake petition coming under the name of an Taiwanese lawyer in Venezuela suggested Maduro to reach for the author's alleged personal experience on avoiding obesity. Still another one apparently intended as a test balloon years ago abused the name of a Catholic Nun from France for the rather ridiculous suggestion Venezuela might increase the electricity output of its river dams during drought periods by collecting rubbish to throw into shrinking dam reservoirs.

The Maduro government said it would carefully look at every petition, but reserve the right to put suspected forgeries behind in the answering queue in order to maintain a consistent line of giving authentic answers to authentic demands. The official declared to fellow diplomats that he was instructed to prefer a perception of sluggishness from the local population over the risk of unconscious treason that would be committed by getting



involved in a deceptive arrangement. He mentioned an old song from the Southern-American country's first oil impoverishment era which promises more pride and less headache with what it describes as hyena tactics.

For the purpose of the announcement, the protocol office had summoned all foreign protocol chiefs to an open reception on the pretext of a lecture on the handling of cultural misunderstandings. The event was opened by a Hindu cleric doing pastoral work on the local diplomatic corpse who said he became caretaker for diplomats around the globe after a dissident came to his temple and showed him how to hold a diamond in his hand in order to be able to see how much blood, sweat and tears were attached to its production.

He opened with the statement that he had taken the invitation because of his conviction that any petition originating from the people was like a diamond. He then continued that after the encounter he doubled his political stance. Had he once believed that the worst words in the human language were 'I am from the government and I am here to help,' from thereon he saw that these were only the worst spoken words, and the worst unspoken words were 'I am from the government and I am here to hurt.' Then the host took back over and introduced the above mentioned example.

The lecture concluded with the announcement that instead of a question and answer session it was declared that the government expected the perpetrator regime to provide a complete, accurate and unsupplemented directory listing of all phoney petitions and abused identities, and obliged the latter's population to reform it in such a way that it would become able to do so upon request. The first mass protocol official said one of its neighbours had already identified an interlocutor through which such a transaction could take place, but expected cessation of infringement on the latter's freedom of operation as a visible sign of change of direction. He added that in case this could only work out as part of its political establishment going down this was a matter of historical decomposition not necklace affairs.

~~~~~

Number: Ten

Title: Gewindeberg Lecture On Handling Of Imperialism

Date: Tuesday, Nov 15th 2016

Name: Raya Barr

Content: At the Kaliningrad Conference for Post-War Coordination by the Down with Imperialism Union, Gewindeberg made a stint appearance with a lecture. No introduction necessary.

„Please follow me directly into the heart of the issue with a little bow to history. When in pre-historical time the great great Babylonian empire was nearing its demise, it brought about a lot of destruction. In one year the amount of horses in its army more than tripled and so did food confiscation, land degradation, deforestation and all the social conflicts resulting of them. At the same time the ailing king in – hence that name – Baghdad was wrapping up his presentation to be replaced with a successor. What were the oppressed people more interested in, the living conditions of their loved ones or the gambling for the throne?“

„The answer is A. For the oppressed people. Yet the answer for the heirs of the decay was B. In fact, if it mattered more to you who was going to make the race for the top seat in the palace than that this vain official nonsense did cost humanity so many precious natural resources, then you showed that you were not on the side of the oppressed people but instead under risk to be reconquered by a hopeless empire in its terminal death

spasm. The line between the oppressed people and the heirs of decay is not an immobile wall, but may be shifting due to the effect that decay when handled properly may contribute to fresh growth.“

„Though it may also be shifting backwards. Then there are those who refuse to participate in surrogate involvements as a matter of principle and respect for the original. But this knowledge clearly and cleanly separates good from evil, and truth from lie: Once the outcome of the election matters more to you than the dangerous condition of the unelected skeleton of the democratic state which remains even when the flesh is entirely exchanged from red to blue or the other way around, you are on the wrong side of the world war. As sovereign people who resist imperialism we can only comment on its election results on the background of a clear perception of its unelected results.“

„The historical parallel is as true now as it was then. This becomes obvious from the fact that its object becomes uphill recognisable without mentioning. That is uphill in terms of organisational complexity degree. You know, downhill any recognition can be arranged by imitation. But only uphill it has a meaning. When a conspiracy is being disrupted, it only means that it is thwarted when the disruption comes from something less complex not more complex. For example we can only get rid of the evil of the military-industrial complex by political abolition and not by means of a military-digital complex. Only the recognition of this asymmetry separates improvement from bad-to-worse.“

„In the painting of Babylonian times you can recognise the present conduct of current imperialism. Behind the scenes of electoral politics the military-industrial complex has expanded over its last years, at the expense of an increased dependency on cheap oil and the questionable alliances and customs it usually brings about. One election cycle ago its main tendency has been going from visible torture to torture that leaves no visible traces. Then it ceased taking prisoners in favour of political assassinations. In this election cycle that has been supplemented by going from irreversible war crimes to war crimes that are reversible in principle.“

“As a result, there is a huge crowd with a legitimate interest of having it punished without becoming visible in the verdict, and one with one to find it punished with reciprocal constellations of reversibility and irreversibility dependent on its will to reverse what is possible in principle. For example, if the war crime is placing a fake contract with a fake signature imitation into your desk, the reversal is the admission of the forgery and the return of the original respectively any reference material taken. The reversible damage is the degradation of the desk to a level at which the authenticity of every document in it is questionable.”

“The punishment is to be tailored to mirror an eventual irreversibility, in case the forgery cannot be completely extracted due to lack of remorse or cooperation the remainder of irreversible doubt is to be reciprocated. For example, if the target desk is a family desk, the war criminal may risk to lose selected family members, if it is merely a business desk then only random colleagues. If you mess with people’s lives and it can be undone because you wanted it to be deniable but you fail to collaborate in their choice to do so then irreversibility shall be reciprocated against you accordingly. That is the only speculation-proof method to handle government activity at this stage of terminal expansion.“

“This is why we prefer forcing our enemy into unconditional surrender to nuking it. The latter would be at least a partial loss because it would not allow us to liberate what it holds hostage of us and therefore only be feasible as part of a terminal scenario, but not of an hopeful one. Hence the Abolitionist movement hoards no nukes but is abstaining from them and at the same time leaves its succession to these who make their own without depriving anyone else thereof. We will see how serious the so-called anti-establishment presidency does get on

disarmament. Whether its scraps the Paris pollution whitewash treaty, whether it finally closes down the Nato meeting and the Nuclear sharing, whether it truly brushes off stillborn trade pacts and detrimental social engineering schemes and so forth. Whether it gets as serious against the fraudsters clinging to these toxic assets as one can only be from early on.”

“Let me shine some light on how the election played out. Apparently the Clinton clan was punished by its own paranoia. It is not known who, and the usual rumours pointing to Russia and China may not be very reliable this time, it is not officially known who called the cops without a crime report. The insider narrative in Washington is that the national security services were tipped off by unidentified foreign counterparts that there was ammunition for an attack coming up, and in their panic and despair set the FBI on blind alert for the vain ambition to quote-stay-behind-unquote whatever might be happening of it. Yet the point is that nothing happened of it and the hot tip that came in was a lure designed for the purpose to blast the media propaganda. Which it did. Which is why they are so tacit about who did it. Someone triggered and dumped the Stay Behind Policy.”

“At this point it is being speculated that Islamic State operatives might have been opening an encrypted channel to Wikileaks, and once Unitedstates mercenaries concluded that they were not able to assassinate either side for either diplomatic or technical reasons they switched into stay behind mode which is pretending to be the first proponent of whatever might result of it with the purpose to crash that political idea or social movement from the inside before it might raise a head. But that is speculation at this point. The point is that someone let it roll inside the bureaucracy without getting rolled over himself. The victory of Trump over Clinton was decided not by force of arms as it could have been expected, but by force of disinformation. If Trump swallows it he will never overcome the spell of outside manipulation.”

“In other words, because they received the appropriate disinformation for such a purpose, the Washington establishment in its intellectual disarray trashed the campaign of its own candidate, and brought in the other one who had said that the election would be rigged. Has it already admitted that its victory was caused by someone from the outside playing the Unitedstates establishment like a bullhorn? The consequences ought to be discussed by the Americans on their own. Are they aware that their election result is a mere measurement of mass panic influenced by foreign forces more than by themselves? Certainly at different degrees. Has any choice gone missing to such influences already? What matters immediately now is that the broken sway of the oligarchy or klan shall never be re-established.”

“In order to prevent that, we ought to influence the American population from the outside that its survival depends on its capability to cause its government to overcome the shame of its crimes and immediately begin handing over the evidence necessary to undo these of their effects that can be undone. I personally have made this a non-negotiable request molten into one with the great historical words Unconditional Surrender for the self-evident reason that peace will only come from the liar exposing its own house of cards, but not from our followers burning it all down. And once the degree of asymmetry of the war crimes committed is being taken into account it is absolutely self-evident that we insist on the unconditional surrender of the military-industrial complex to the uprising of the people.”

“Since it is invisible to most people now, but is going to be visible to everyone from some point onward, with no scales of grey in between, it can already be discussed from that clear perspective. What matters is the overall understanding that there is a point beyond which the appropriateness of means and ends becomes so distorted that even vaguest popular attention suffices for a boomerang effect. If you were willing to give government a

permit to break into people's houses to manipulate their bookmarks as to influence their choices, would it not be way more efficient to give it a permit to achieve the same result with much, much less effort by manipulating the content of their ballot box? You see, beyond that point there is no politics any more but only dark humour."

"Beyond that, what the people of the world are expecting the Americans to do once they have survived the shock of confusion between good and evil nearly everyone not involved either as a target or a perpetrator has suffered, is to prepare these malignant elements in their so-called establishment to collaborate in future foreign efforts to extract from them the information necessary to neutralise the unsolicited influence they caused. In other words, in addition to their respective individual guilt the Americans are in a collective debt towards all people their government and its vassals occupied, exploited and left with vassal states. The more Americans realise how much it matters that they shall not struggle when power is being taken out of their government's hands the better their chances for unconditional surrender and non-violent dismantling of their military-industrial complex."

"To add up, you might have been aware all through this line of thought, or not, that I did not yet mention something with the Babylonian empire which actually is its third leg. You should know that the American Supreme Court is designed with an uneven number of board members to achieve majority decisions, but now for a while already is defunct in the sense that its lead member has died as a judgement in a war crime case. The judge read up on the case, thought about making a judgement and died. The replacement had been postponed until the replacement of the presidency. This is another major factor in the outcome as well. A defunct supreme court is also defunct with regard to lobbyist manipulation, other than a merely corrupt supreme court. The Supreme Court Death Balance is also a decisive benchmark for understanding why all political decisions made by any vassal states are entirely irrelevant due to the aforementioned corruption."

"Why do supreme court judges, whom you might expect to eat, sleep and breathe their constitution, suddenly die when asked for a decision? Is this an issue compared to which constitutions and the promises they make are scrap paper? Unconditional surrender means for the American government to fully disclose its intentions not only to its local population but to all the world, and where ever this is going to turn out a requirement for reliable change to the better surrender its culprits to international efforts without hesitation. The targets thereof are already waiting to speak after them. But it is a fundamental matter of public pedagogics that the culprits speak first, because it is theirs to introduce everyone else to the issue they both intended and did so much wrong about. After they have spoken, the word is with the targets in order to rip apart any eventual lies and disguises. There remains no doubt that this regime apparatus has to confess immense wrongdoings. We intend to force it to openly declare what it expects of us and which value it attaches to it."

"Therefore, we ought to help the American people educate their apparatchiks to learn how to separate confessions to be made to the public from returns to be made to private recipients. In case of any doubt the separation is to be delegated to leaking platforms, whose operatives can decide what is for the public to know and what is exclusively for specific spying targets to take back. Only when the American people manage to educate their politicians to behave in a way that enables themselves to develop remorse to the world and not require to be nuked one day, they have a chance to avert indiscriminate blowback. Only when they can surrender the full track records of their war crimes, forgiveness can become a possibility, because without reversal there is no such near-term tendency, as historians can tell. My job is to weigh what to do with these who have been offered forgiveness in exchange for cessation of their war crime effort and chose continuity. Offering it again after it was rejected would be like offering the potato again after it is rotten. So what?"

“In handling imperialism, time is a decisive factor. As one expert pointed out, empires like to stay for long times. Hence there is the problem of empires speculating they could defeat us by simply exceeding our time. We are going to exceed theirs, but only if we manage to speed up the proceedings of their systematic dismantling. Empires also like to come in where social developments are unfinished and things not yet perfect. In the worst case there is no social consensus beyond the family because nations are too corrupt and parallel societies are repressed. If an empire comes into these conditions, it is being seduced to speculate on time excess. Why? Because with its involvement, the building of a multi-dimensional social fabric is postponed until after its end, because it is undesirable to have an empire involved in that.”

“Yet over the time the one-dimensional social structure of the family which after all is not a renewable resource erodes, and puts up a false choice between conservatism and loss. But would anyone right in their mind go into an undesirable deal with an empire merely because time is running out? No as a matter of principle, because it might be the prototype for endless unchecked fraud. Any closer look at today’s totalitarian menace of a democracy in which security forces beat another head every day compared to the self-evident utopia of freedom of information in which the latter has no side-effects before it has effects reveals it already to be the case. But even the most unscrupulous totalitarianism one day runs into the person unlike everyone else who cannot directly prove being spied upon without hurting themselves with content.”

“How? For example, someone has invented a computer operated tin opener in their early years, put it into a drawer and never applied for patent, because he did not believe in aggressive marketing. That person could state, they stole my invention and plagiarised it, and decide on the basis of objective evidence, rather than just the stranglehold feelings usually induced by monopolised everyday information theft. The imperialist would hardly be so developed to deliberately work around a lure of this kind. The resulting conflict would be of the steepest imaginable asymmetry, as it is the only possible constellation between an individual and a conspiracy of abstract size. But it are first of all the revolutionary masses whose interest is served by wrecking counter-revolutionary conspiracies, even if they have more suitable ways to pursue an everyday task than programming a robot engine. This is just a theoretical example of the kind of real unpredictabilities challenging digital totalitarianism.”

“Hence, let me conclude. Yes, this American election was manipulated more than any before. Yes, our forces did it. Our forces put their investigation bureau on a dead end track and gave it full steam merely to create a smokescreen to disrupt the machinations of their oligarchy. It was a symbolic retaliation for manipulations they inflicted upon us which are so bad that they degraded their own constitution to junk level. Yes, we succeeded, and made the loser lose and the winner win. It was more effective than taking a gun into my hands. You can deduce this from the fact that the worst war criminals of the incumbent regime are now trying to hide behind their vassals as if roles were exchanged. By playing around with their election we made it visible to everyone that American politics is all about the pursuit of hate crimes against foreign opponents. If you do not want me to trash your constitution just avoid meddling with my intellectual property. As a result, this election has produced no winner but only weaklings of one type or another, outgoing and incoming. That is because under our influence its purpose has become to make way for someone capable of serving the world’s demands for unconditional surrender.”



One Crossing

Number: Eleven

Title: GAG Group Declares WOD

Date: Tuesday, Dec 6th, 2016

Name: Ras Ramsay

Content: I was approached by a man getting out of a car. “Sorry, would you like to report from an unique event in a very special place?” – “How did you know how you would find me here?” – “You wrote about taking this road. It is about a fresh proposal how to end the war and revive the revolution.” – “For that purpose always. How?” – “Be right back tomorrow and take you there for a formal visit on condition of a blind dislocation agreement. We make sure that not even your followers can check where you go.” The darkened windows of the spooky vehicle turned out to be so for inside-out looks as well. I was dropped at a small parking and told to follow the chalk marks into the forest. No digital devices allowed. Instead I received a big old-fashioned key to a thick wood box in the car, and a torch.

As I got further and further away from the road, Grimmelshausen approached me out of the woodwork. “Ah, it’s you again. Hi.” – “Welcome. It’s not easy these days, keep safe.” – “Where are we?” – “Next to the water. This is a particular place. Don’t mind the neighbourhood noise. I am going to tell you what you could see from here if there was a view when you leave, because I have seen it from up there. But for the duration of our stay, as long as you can breathe the air here you can also drink the water.”

“I prefer to cook it up though and bring my own for raw drinking. But I take the raw air as we slip this piece of land through the toxic assets in the neighbourhood. Later, you may want to go there as well, but just right now you might not stand the kerosene that is in the air up there. Look, this shooting trap over there lies abandoned for a decade at least. We are going to sit it out from the bottom, as we sat it out from the top, and will be looking up and down without any toxic assets in the air. Just keep patient until I take a breath. Here we are. Welcome again.”

“Abgar, Gralis. Ramsay. Miller is busy keeping the networks off our backs. Is he?” – “He said he would take me back. And he mentioned a revolutionary purpose.” – “That’s right. The only tragedy of the commons is that they are in their entirety claimed as private property and subject to maladministration. In a sane community, everybody takes from the food bowl but nobody takes the food bowl. Except for cleaning. But then it is clean afterwards and not gone. The monopoly of state power which is a derivative of currency has become detrimental to human society because of a false anthropomorphism. In the current economic system, the usual way of finance mirrors a kind of human behaviour in which one person was to take the food bowl, dish out a few plates here or there as they just happen to like to, and keep the rest for themselves.”

“We can do that here with these small pots but only because we are a small and isolated tribe uncontacted for the duration of our stay. If you intend to find ways for a mass society without mutual overview you cannot mirror a significantly different situation without bringing about an emulation spasm. There must be something new. There must be another economic system than capitalism in which the food bowl does not have an owner in the same sense as a plate has an owner at least temporarily for the time it is being dished up. And by food bowl I mean not only what goes into your mouth but also the fossil food for your mechanic slaves. If the food bowl does not have an owner then you cannot leave it to that person to think about distribution justice for example. You need to keep aware of these coming behind you on your own.”

“Hahaha, you see Abgar is already in full teaching mode. But he is right, it is like commercial spam claiming our namespaces. It is like a parasite on the wrong host where it cannot reproduce. A dead end that has come into our way.” – “I did not want to mention this to you alone. Miller kind of shocked me. He looked as if he had aged ten years in one, as if he had eaten something genetically manipulated.” – “Him now? I saw this coming. He was always too lax with his information intake. I hoped we might just get him immune against the overkill out there, but he likes to ignore that democracy is a contradiction in terms. The more people need to be involved in a decision the more likely it is that the decision concerned depends on facts and not on proportions. The more democracy you have the less useful it is.”

“It is obvious all over world history, today more than ever. For example when an ancient culture locked in Babylonian captivity of itself, in which the runaway of it all is being absorbed as a stable part thereof, unlike the revolutionary who is like a fish in the water among the people, is being confronted with nuclear fission or even only coal mining, how can its members make a meaningful decision? By counting games? No way. Democracy makes you end up with the toxic factory at the other bank of the river for no reason.”

“If you are a guerilla movement instead of a democracy, even if it brought the same result it would have a reason. A wood stack needs to be stable, but few things in human life are like a wood stack – even walking upright is a permanent violation of stability. This is just one example of a decision depending on basic facts not particular opinions or benefits. The only reason to sacrifice that riverside could be a conscious grasp for collective heroism for penetrating and dismantling a superpower based on that technology. And even that is meant to be a total exception.” – “Maybe Miller found a factory under his bed and that is why?”

“We are going to figure out here. But first let me thank you Galis for the transcultural insight. It is this what distinguishes a reactor from both a hut and a palace. The hut may rot and collapse without leaving a trace, the palace is waiting to be looted down to a monument of ruin once the hierarchy loosens its grip on the people, but the reactor is like neither. Until there is an earthquake, like the recent one of which these clerics said it was triggered by the provincial government’s leniency towards the arrangement of forced marriages. You see, if the children shift each other’s chairs Mother Earth gets angry at the marriage party and might crack up a reactor as a present.” – “Which is kind of understandable since no one ought to take these things out of her hands. Better an earthquake than all the corruption resulting from this and then the earthquake.”

“Let me pick up this false anthropocentrism argument.” – “False anthropomorphism.” – “Yes. State power and currency monopoly mimic some human characteristics, although they must not, and we should have a revolution to change this in order to enable some things people seemingly want but cannot get under these conditions, and disable the damage caused by such false attempts. These are organisational tasks not theatrics. They are to be organised without any such mimicry in order to fulfil any meaningful purposes. Only then humans can take back their lives without the risk of ending up as their victims.”

“We must purge the mimicry of elementary human characteristics out of our economic system in order to end the illusion of the so-called invisible hand. We must end the susceptibility of the market to appear as whole like a conscious being, and thereby be exploited as cover for manipulations by fraudulent hands, despite or due to all the policing.” – “What is the false anthropomorphism of the capitalist economy? We start from the food bowl parable served in the beginning. Let’s assume people cannot agree by reason and consent that none of them eats directly out of the food bowl but plates are filled in mutual awareness of equality. So they sell their entire kitchen, it is being run as a restaurant, and they are customers. Technically they appear all equal since the food bowl never gets on the table but they are being served filled plates instead, if ordered even from different

food bowls.”

“Now the restaurant owner is the guy who eats directly out of the food bowl, at least finance-wise. So let’s assume it is no nostalgic family business but a dumping-and-slashing streamlined franchise. There you have a corporation which in language and handling is being regarded as the person with the food bowl. You will find that idea of money circulating like blood in an organism to enable the commodity metabolism of a society. But there is no personality, and an emulation thereof by bureaucratic means can only remember it what it is lacking. If democratic capitalism actually had a live heart, it would be an organism in which any body parts that do not work were to die of lack of blood supply like tree branches under the Sun. Its financial speculations are like athletes basing their performance estimations only on the current mood not food intake. Well, you can see it from the quote-rescue-unquote efforts.”

“Unitednations governments and their corporations essentially are a bunch of violent and nasty kids looting the natural storehouses for the planetary winter of which we should hope it would never happen. It is very telling that whenever confronted over it they are too cowardly to openly declare their ambitions but instead come up with the most lame of excuses that if true would have been openly offered in advance, but were not. And not only are they violent, they are also incredibly dumb. They are so dumb that they take each other’s lame excuses serious.”

“When government A and government B compete, and the former harasses people under the lame pretext, or more precisely the later apology, it would have been instructing them, then you might find the latter which loathes people as well, blame the former for alleged instruction. This is like blaming a rapist for the alleged generosity of paying a victim a drink. Not only is it a bad prototype among governments, but also people do not like it. With a little leniency we might take into account it could be a pessimistic speculation assuming the other people to be defeated by the other government at some point in the future, become its useless fools and impotent to correct the lie. But any forward speculation in that direction is a sign of weakness, so not only – as I said. Intake matters, for mood as well.”

“So the call of the day is we need to correct the monetary system, not just this or that currency, to fix this problem. No advocacy of the gold standard, it is a dangerous condition harbouring the risk of terrible clogging, but the abandonment thereof on foreign reserve currency is akin to a world economy on blood thinners, and the current monetary policies of the arms proliferation currencies is the malignant overdosing thereof. You know, once you tried blood thinners you will never again trust a doctor who says if it does not suffice take another pill. Once you understand the reality of the global economy you will never believe in growth again as a remedy to anything. In some circumstances it may be a side effect of another remedy.”

“In addition to that, we have a spoiled and disqualified government apparatus in luring our backs. When a monopoly is not even capable of abandoning its erroneous illusions before approaching these who do not share them, and falls to the temptation to do so on the base of their erroneous results with the apparent intent of enforcing the wrong for the sake of itself, then it is surely doomed by natural evolution and with a certain likelihood by humane common sense as well. For example, instead of a symmetric argument over the advantages and disadvantages of decisions on this or that monetary issue, there would be an unreasonable effort to regulate fiscal policy by means of the proliferation of paid propaganda and the further the result was away from what it desired the more propaganda was done. Such a behaviour one way or another is lethal.”

“Are you sure? My mother would have said, killing the killers is not enough.” – “It is not. But they killed her.”

Her objection was not enough to them.” – “But it is not enough.” – “Like any other proposal. There are situations where there is another proposal that is enough. But not this one. Monopoly is monopoly. I do not believe in it so I do not do it. But if you do then you must not behave as if among equals.”

“Once you pick up monopoly, you must not pretend to be an equal contributor or stakeholder to anything, and if you abuse your monopoly to do so then result is the same as if someone took a monopoly role against their conviction. Every little child knows such a person will die from a broken heart. Death by loss of moral compass.” – “With a gap to bridge between cause and consequence.” – “Do I even need to mention what they would have to do or more precisely to leave in order to signal us they did not want that? I think I already did.”

“I think we can wrap up a major update on the stampede research with this. The Reciprocation Fallacy – someone in an asymmetric conflict behaving as if it was a symmetric one – is the major stampede precursor. There is conflict. It is asymmetric. The monopoly interferes as if it was symmetric. It does not appear as what it is but as one element of the conflict though. The irritation causes more irritation on the other side. Stampedes result from shared fear in a crowd materialising in routine plus ruthlessness, and in the worst case even resemphment.”

“Do I need to explain resemphment? It is a word crafted with hot word-processing pliers, referring to hate crime ideologies exculpating themselves by deliberately distorted interpretation. But all these can easily be avoided and with them the according volatility when they are realistically being perceived as the risks they are. They must be avoided from their causes to avoid their consequences as well, since the other way around does not work, which means to avoid the frivolous interference. Frivolous as in frivolous lawsuit. The law of cause and consequence always remains symmetric even when a conflict becomes asymmetric.”

“So the root cause of stampede is systemic fraud committed by means of deceptive monopoly. The root cause of it is an escalation of unintended side-effects of covert manipulation. Which makes clear how insane it is to try to fix this with more monopoly power. Are there any other possible causes why a dense crowd would collectively lose their minds? Maybe fire? But then what is the cause of the fire, and why is there no better response to it?” – “Would you like to get on the ground and drink directly out of the well here? Look, the other species have chosen their respective drinking places in order to not appear bowing to the electricity factory over there. With the water level as far down as it is you can read every trace in the mud.”

“Those animals know, as long as you can breathe the air you can drink the water. And still I would reject as a matter of principle bottled water from here served up elsewhere. Such as bottled air by the way. It does not get the ‘no unchecked risks in the area’ certificate. But to the ambition to take the place back and get the malinvestment out I surely bow my head. However, if there is a kind of risk management leaving the result that nobody does any more, then you are lacking the antidote. Stampedes can only occur when free risk awareness has been run over first. And they worsen as the run-over attempts to substitute what it replaced.”

“There is one more aspect to why the monopoly transgression is lethal. At this point in time, our species is sleepwalking. When people realise why they were deprived for so long of the social preconditions of so many other things than what is implicated by doing so they will be boundlessly angry at these who did it. If I were to push you to try to start the fire with the big logs or the live thorns and the kettle already in place you would very likely rate me as a mental fossil.”

“If I were to suggest I was authorised to do so because I do not like your charcoal drawings – including such

of a fireplace – then you would very probably call me a bigoted idiot – because the technique due to the material resources reduces details over all dimensions of space and time, and the demented fool re-expands that without awareness of what belongs where in the depicted continuum. I have a better way to start a fire – recognise the vessels Nature gave you as what they are, and they will already have caught up all you will need for it. And once you can fully expand and shrink the causal vessel of your fire, just add up the ingredients as you need.”

“As the fog clears, and everybody understands malignant government clinging to a lethal way of economy stole them decades of their time, there should not be a hard awakening. It might be the first call of a fresh monopoly and the last component for a fresh stampede. We mentioned early risk recognition. When visibility is being taken the invisible guilt should already have been appropriately compensated.” – “How?” – “The problem of ending monopoly without becoming monopoly has been extensively researched.”

“It requires an one-punch approach to draw a circle upon the monopoly which cleanly separates it into an inside that cannot survive on its own and outside which can, and the challenge is to put it right at once. No incremental steps possible since the object responds. If it is too wide, the monopoly might continue with the inclusion of its closest surroundings, if it is too tight parts of the monopoly might spoil the result. How does this adjust? Check the Napoleon Liebknecht Proposal. Between the definition of the centre and radius there comes gravitation. There is no other algorithm with a meaningful result.”

“It means kill your idols with the truth. I can hardly imagine anything more repulsive than monopoly transgression. Those indigenous tribes who stopped the tar sands pipeline have a saying that goes the mountain got pregnant and produced a mouse. You will be laughing at the disproportionality, and yet that is an effort taking itself seriously. The very same mouse would not be an unwelcome sign without the mountain’s pains putting its suffering on every living being in the area.”

“Yes, the behaviour occurring from monopoly transgression would more often than not hardly be a matter of concern, and if not so in instance then at least in category, if it was not the result of what caused it, if was not for the side-effects the monopoly produces with it which are unwelcome to these exposed to it. In addition to that, once you have a spoiled monopoly on your territory it sucks in people who under other conditions would die on the next railway crossing because they do not recognise the barrier going up and down, but as part of a monopoly they make others die. First.”

“It is one thing that rewards should only come after achievement in order to avoid inappropriate advantage of particular interests. It is another that gifts should only come after judgement because they could influence it into an inappropriate direction. Yet when neither can for each other’s reasons then there is something wrong with the human condition. Fundamentally wrong and must be changed. First. I mean, why are those particular interests so dishonest to expect to be recognised as something else than they are? They are the fraudulent appendix of an irresponsible waste of efforts and that makes them signs we cannot honestly bear, not even unconsciously.”

“Well, recently I had a client whose single mother died because just after she gave up on herself she caught a disease that may make some kinds of people give up on themselves. What can I say to a grieving son lacking a solid father? I told him, look if you believe in this powerful man of whom your belief says among other things that he does not play with it, and you find power having been played with, you have logic to deduce that it was not him. You have logic to say so just like you have a monument for the unknown philosopher in your book.

No doubt, the tar sands are a spoiled cat. That's why the so-called eco-terrorist buried the mining train into the toxic heap to make it a sign of a dead end it would otherwise not be."

"We have convened around this fragment of natural river flow near an unbearable toxic asset not to transform a muddy water hole into a sacred place, because that can only be a result not a promise and because all places are equal once their Nature is being respected. We came here to declare its war upon capitalist democracy, the political system behind the destruction and extinction. When an organisation model makes no sense it does not matter who is at the helm of it. It only matters to organise things differently."

"If it produces deliberate obstacles against that then it loses any benefit of the doubt. This is what we see in the so-called security intelligence apparatus of democracy, an useless obstacle to independent alternatives. It must be removed and under no circumstances whatsoever anyhow be involved in what comes next, in order not to poison it with its monopoly transgressions. In the next step, you or me might be taking the place of the dethroned monopoly."

"Can we freely do everything we need to do as equals without interference so we do not need to look back at it with sorrow from the next stage? No, the monopoly power is meddling with it as to steal our time and sabotage its own replacement through Abolition. As a result, we cannot leave this to anyone else until its influence has been cleanly extracted because doing so would be irresponsibly careless. If we were to build our future upon the gross disproportionality contained in monopoly transgression, it would become a part of us, so if you do not want it it must not be able to reach us now."

"Why? It is self-evident that its meddling has no other effects and intentions than spoiling our efforts to finish one thing properly before starting another like rational understanding of the issue requires. Certainly it is not merely an abuse of our human rights but a sacrilege against the scientific method as well. Look, there is no daylight between those two possibilities, either they are not understanding or they are evil, which is just another word for they are not even understanding with the soul let off with their minds."

"This is why you and me part ways again after this secret meeting, because it is not a build-up to any movement or identity which only would be taken over in hostility, but because there is to be a causal gap between everything the democratic state apparatus does and anything we do, all the more so when they are trying to bridge it by illegitimate means like totalitarianism. We are united by the intent to create a fresh start without any legacy ingredients of that kind."

"Under free circumstances this would be as self-evidently so as the soup in the kitchen, but in this day and age where devices are appearing to have more rights than people it has become something to be boldly emphasised. If the world was a bar, the democratic state would be the guy bullying everyone else into telling him what a great guy he is. Is he? The 'drone' attacks would be akin to stools thrown. We will see once we are resolute enough not to allow it to hide among us."

"Never again shall a vote be held without irritation, be it election, plebiscite or poll. Recent instances have shown in series, I repeat, in series that it is possible once it is no longer being interpreted as a matter of choice but of faith. Only when these who still believe in ballot procedures change their views the change thereof is reflected in their outcome. Only in concert with these who have issues we do not share, and this no one-way route, we can defeat these who pretend to share ours as to draw from our strength."

“The world is nearing a point where everyone, regardless what they live from or for, has an interest to get capitalist democracy removed. Such as that factory over there. Look – this river is so dead that only its dead branches hold living water. If you think democracy in a practical way, think of everyone who is for it taking a bucket of dead water and everyone who is against it one of drinkable water, would you drink from the pool they get filled? The same liquid running through the ecosystem of the natural river flow which surrounds us just right here though is drinkable like fresh air however. That is the distinction between democracy and freedom.”

“In the best case, the democratic state is openly clinging to the toxic asset and there is clarity of perception. In the worst case, the water surface has been tossed up by the wind and the regime is attempting to trade away its toxic asset for our recognition of it. But my judgement is no commodity that could be exchanged or purchased in any way. Either you convince with an idea or not, but bargaining is for business purposes only, and the reverence to Nature is not for business. With the factories it is just the opposite as with the plants: Once you are so devoted to the sacred plant that you dream it, breathe it, eat it, that it goes beyond social love, you will not be doing business with it. As a matter of respect – because that makes it allow you herd these who do.”

“On the other hand, we are against this factory as a matter of principle so much that we will not even see an achievement in its removal. If the regime finally did, in which circumstances do you compliment a kid for washing its hands? When you find the wet towel squeezed behind the dustbin? The purpose of removing the toxic factory is ending the economy of war and exploitation. If the other side is sacrificing its factory for clinging to the latter then it is a phoney replacement with an unreliable schedule and a monopoly speculation. At this point I favour the cathedral over the bazaar like I favour the tree over the antenna. The anti-atomic decision is no bargain or phase out but a matter of truth on all levels. Have just one bow to war profits put into it and you can throw away the entire mixture.”

“For example, if they were to replace the factory with an antenna grid to catch up some form of energy leaving its toxic by-product elsewhere instead of shutting down the military production that steals the surplus electricity, we would still be here, and nothing would have changed at all except that if air and water suddenly became toxic it would not be a friendly fire from a factory but an enemy weapon. All the other maths is precisely the same – precisely. In the very worst of the worst cases, the very same government that brought about the toxic factory would claim a patent on the plant life facing it, and any effort like ours would be target of spying and meddling. The worst worst worst case is don't mention the theory that it already is.”

“That is why Miller is not here with us for any rituals but telling everyone who does not think that far that capitalists did not invent natural river flows. They existed before them independently of them which is self-evident once you understand what they are and how they developed, including all the plants and animals being there full time or part time. We are just a few bits and bytes away from the triple worst case, one way or another, and if there is any future beyond the pathetic illusion of permanent growth it is either beyond recognition or people will understand democracy as what it was. A toxic surrogate of a toxic surrogate of you know what.”

“What?” – “Just like we need to sort the culprits in the order of guilt, we need to sort the tasks to be done without them in the order of innocence. For example, instead of the capitalist ideology of exchange expressing itself in a bargain of the kind ‘I only close down the factory after you allow me to your water hole’, we require the factory to be closed for its own good reasons; and offer solidarity for our own good reasons. When things that do not belong together have been mixed, the thing that matters most to cleanly sort them out is the order of


steps. We already mentioned an important point about death and life in this river. It is a zombie parable of commercial journalism by the way, expressed in the term main-stream media – this river is so dead that only its dead branches are alive. All the more so in the current drought.”

“I think this is the right point to ask everyone in the round, where are we?” – “You know that if Gralis was to answer that question, we would have to close our session.” – “That is why I only ask now. Has everything necessary been said?” – “I think Gralis should also elaborate how it is being closed correctly, for everyone to understand before we put the pieces together for Ramsay.” – “For that I still have time.”

“Fine. Do you know the difference between the capitalist and the communist handshake? The person offering the handshake is the one to increase the pressure, the person taking it may follow up or not. As a result, you know how hard you work together. In the capitalist version, the person offering the handshake leaves the increase of pressure to the person receiving it, and as a result you know how much you can rely upon the other or not. But there is an argument that this is not really a handshake, as little as a rubbing of shoulders is a pogo.”

“Now, where are we?” – “We are at the feet of Castaneda Rock. As I said, breathe the air, drink the water but do not buy the bricks.” – “Bricks?” – “A technical joke. Of course you may, but only after you checked the mud first, like with the water you may prefer another hole or another wind. Why? None will endure as far as the one who understands what he is doing as he does it. Even the animal understands, when it kisses the water surface in true love of Nature, that only by one step or flap after another from the right direction it will avoid to stir up sediment and obtain pure water.” – “Good way.”

~~~~~



## Yet Another Path

Number: Twelve

Title: Pirate Hubbicide Puzzles Germany

Date: Sunday Oct 2nd, 2016

Name: Jan Feuerbach

Content: Sex encounters had warned him: Do not reach for a representative life, or else you are going to die a representative death. The era of representative systems is an illusion not only in terms of it being over one day hopefully rather sooner than later, but it already carries in itself the origins of mass death in the form of its so-called security forces. Yet since the gay technician had already happened to get a job with a security clearance, he felt safe to run in an election nevertheless. Now the gay politician killed off his partner and himself in a shining stunt, giving fellow parliament members a glimpse of what is ahead for them if they stay the course he went with them.

Claus G. chose the Pirate Party, a spawn of the open source movement rallying around widespread frustration over corporate restrictions in commercial mass culture. But when the Western banking crisis kicked in, its culture industry became one of the things to be devalued first, and with it these united only by going for the crumbs falling off its tables. The Pirate Party never managed to rise beyond a junior role even in the expectations of its voters, and was overtaken in this aspect by the Alternative Right. Nevertheless the Pirates lived on, because with the decline of the Alternative Left their diversity remained unchallenged.

In no other party would for example devout Buddhists and secular gays be working together equally, neither micromanaged through a centralised administration corrupted by outside forces, as in the Green party, nor degraded to segregated minority corners on the party platform, as in the Christian conservatives. This can only be the case where the political environment is not being lured upon by greedy businesses longing to build a bridge where ever they guess someone wanting to make his way. The example is not chosen by representativeness, but because it mirrors G.'s relationship with a fellow party member.

The two collided in a dead end when it turned out that their interests were not as separate as it might seem on the first glance. Actually, the religious narrative is tailored extremely close around what seems to resemble a reflection of the most intense sexual devotion. But like in the other personality-centred faiths, this becomes obvious only when it is being lived out beyond the alluded form. At the same time, as organised social bodies these religions fail to bring about a human society which would be trustworthy to devote one's physical body to it. Even those who devote their spirits to God would rather do so with their bodies as well than want to be a present to it.

Buddhist monks argue, just like children are left with their sexuality as something to keep for later,

human society as whole is left with this collective aspect of sexuality until it has grown up, and all ideas humans hold now in one way or another are immature. Some say, this might be a deliberate incentive to make it attractive to reach that point, create world peace, end poverty and government, and see what might be desirable from thereon. The aim to bring about a social environment in which it might be technically possible to consider and experiment without triggering incalculable reverberations in accumulated speculation in any case is desirable right now.

But members of either group are expected to be centred on their respective narrative for a long time without going to the depth at which they begin overlapping. This is because they live in a society in which taking things to the extremes, and be it only the devotion of oneself, is loaded with repression. Indeed anyone familiar with state power knows, in terms of desirability hostility is second only to friendly fire. When you give up yourself to God, or the creatures, you do not want a trigger-happy government to try to get in between, at least not on top of the latter, because its presence alone would be an influence spoiling the connection. Without reaching at the soul depth of it, this will is also being expressed by the mass culture approach of the Pirate party.

When the morning of his last day had broken, G. was said to have texted to anyone whom it may concern that for this day he had drawn a double portion of bad karma. Next he sent out a photograph of the man he loved on a background publicly identifiable as half-way between them. Then he sought for their last encounter in the other man's home, both of which had been bugged by Angela Merkel's portfolio of secret security services spying upon the people as well as each other the sheer number of which Bashar Assad could be envious for already when he still ruled all of Syria. Finally, G. packed the other victim into a trolley and relocated him to his own home, where the two later were found dead and conventional cops were put on track for investigation under a blindfold order.

Tabloids speculated about the sportive walking distance with the load and declared the younger man who apparently was packed like cargo dead on departure. But witnesses reported the two were talking and a psychologist involved in the investigation commented that G. did not seek to hide. Leaked eavesdropping protocols suggest the two had intended the trip as a reconciliation experiment, with one convinced that they were being listened against and the other not so coming together to bring about clarity. The transcripts suggest that both intended to find out whether they would be offered help in a task that obviously both from the inside and the outside was bordering exhaustion, and then attempt to convince each other of the meaning of the result.

Leaked evidence does not tell us whether they were watched in the streets, but the arrival transcripts lay out that they had not been approached by anyone, neither innocent inhabitants nor hired agents. The man who had squeezed himself into the trolley argued that the result did not tell anything as to the activities of agents, but what it did tell was that if there would be any approach by agents no ordinary people would be able to offer help. The two found that they had not come up with any result on the issue, but all the more so on the karma of the day. If the Buddha was alive

today asking more or less directly for his proverbial daily hemp seed, people would not recognise the situation both in terms of perception and assertion, besides legal obstacles and administrative monstrosities. They would be totally busy with the karma of the day.

The transcript details that G. shoved the empty trolley down the stairs as he joyfully cried out that now he suddenly understood Buddhism and would buy it all at once. His hubby replied that he fully respected G.'s fresh conviction, but had a hard time not losing faith over he what he had just been learning about the karma of the day and that the Buddhism he loved was to be expected to take place in a much better world than currently is. With a bizarre trolley trip through half the city, the enthusiast and the sceptic suddenly had swapped roles. However it turned out impossible for the dwarf to drive back the giant in the damaged vehicle in order to undo the effect, without an uncontaminated open source instrument to spontaneously raise a peer group. As they noticed, both men remained silent together for an hour. Then, to the surprise of the agents in the earphones, G. went on to quickly kill the two of them.

~~~~~



